

**Date:** March 29, 2016  
**To:** THE TRUSTEES  
**From:** THE PRESIDENT and CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
**Subject:** ReCharge New York Power Allocations

SUMMARY

The Trustees are requested to:

1. award allocations of Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power available for “retention” purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” in the amounts indicated on Exhibit “A”;
2. award allocations of RNY Power available for “expansion” purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” in the amounts indicated on Exhibit “B”; and
3. award allocations of RNY Power available for eligible small businesses and not-for-profit corporations to the companies listed in Exhibit “C” in the amounts indicated on Exhibit “C”.

These actions have been recommended by the Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“EDPAB”) at its March 28, 2016 meeting.

BACKGROUND

On April 14, 2011, Governor Andrew M. Cuomo signed into law the RNY Power Program as part of Chapter 60 (Part CC) of the Laws of 2011 (“Chapter 60”). The program makes available 910 megawatts (“MW”) of “RNY Power,” 50% of which will be provided by the Authority’s hydropower resources and 50% of which will be procured by the Authority from other sources. RNY Power contracts can be for a term of up to seven years in exchange for job and capital investment commitments.

RNY Power is available to businesses and not-for-profit corporations for job retention and business expansion and attraction purposes. Specifically, Chapter 60 provides that at least 350 MW of RNY Power shall be dedicated to facilities in the service territories served by the New York State Electric and Gas, National Grid and Rochester Gas and Electric utility companies; at least 200 MW of RNY Power shall be dedicated to the purpose of attracting new businesses and encouraging expansion of existing businesses statewide; and up to 100 MW shall be dedicated for eligible not-for-profit corporations and eligible small businesses statewide.

Under the statute, “eligible applicant” is defined to mean an eligible business, eligible small business, or eligible not-for-profit corporation, however, an eligible applicant shall not include retail businesses as defined by EDPAB, including, without limitation, sports venues,

gaming or entertainment-related establishments or places of overnight accommodations. At its meeting on April 24, 2012, EDPAB defined a retail business as a business that is primarily used in making retail sales of goods or services to customers who personally visit such facilities to obtain goods or services, consistent with the rules previously promulgated by EDPAB for implementation of the Authority's Economic Development Power program.

Prior to entering into a contract with an eligible applicant for the sale of RNY Power, and prior to the provision of electric service relating to a RNY Power allocation, the Authority must offer each eligible applicant that has received an award of RNY Power the option to decline to purchase the RNY Market Power component of such award. If the applicant declines to purchase the RNY Market Power component from the Authority, the Authority has no responsibility for supplying RNY Market Power component of the award.

As part of Governor Andrew M. Cuomo's initiative to foster business activity and streamline economic development, applications for all statewide economic development programs, including the RNY Power Program, have been incorporated into a single on-line Consolidated Funding Application ("CFA") marking a fundamental shift in how State economic development resources are marketed and allocated. Beginning in September 2011, the CFA was available to applicants. The CFA continues to serve as an efficient and effective tool to streamline and expedite the State's efforts to generate sustainable economic growth and employment opportunities. All applications that are considered for an RNY Power allocation are submitted through the CFA process.

Applications for RNY Power are subject to a competitive evaluation process and are evaluated based on the following criteria set forth in the statutes providing for the RNY Power Program (the "RNY Statutes"):

- “(i) the significance of the cost of electricity to the applicant's overall cost of doing business, and the impact that a recharge New York power allocation will have on the applicant's operating costs;
- (ii) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation will result in new capital investment in the state by the applicant;
- (iii) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation is consistent with any regional economic development council strategies and priorities;
- (iv) the type and cost of buildings, equipment and facilities to be constructed, enlarged or installed if the applicant were to receive an allocation;
- (v) the applicant's payroll, salaries, benefits and number of jobs at the facility for which a recharge New York power allocation is requested;
- (vi) the number of jobs that will be created or retained within the state in relation to the requested recharge New York power allocation, and the extent to which the applicant will agree to commit to creating or retaining such jobs as a condition to receiving a recharge New York power allocation;
- (vii) whether the applicant, due to the cost of electricity, is at risk of closing or curtailing facilities or operations in the state, relocating facilities or operations out of the state, or losing a significant number of jobs in the state, in the absence of a recharge New York power allocation;

(viii) the significance of the applicant's facility that would receive the recharge New York power allocation to the economy of the area in which such facility is located;

(ix) the extent to which the applicant has invested in energy efficiency measures, will agree to participate in or perform energy audits of its facilities, will agree to participate in energy efficiency programs of the authority, or will commit to implement or otherwise make tangible investments in energy efficiency measures as a condition to receiving a recharge New York power allocation;

(x) whether the applicant receives a hydroelectric power allocation or benefits supported by the sale of hydroelectric power under another program administered in whole or in part by the authority;

(xi) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation will result in an advantage for an applicant in relation to the applicant's competitors within the state; and

(xii) in addition to the foregoing criteria, in the case of a not-for-profit corporation, whether the applicant provides critical services or substantial benefits to the local community in which the facility for which the allocation is requested is located.”

Based on the evaluation of these criteria, the applications were scored and ranked. Evaluations also considered scores provided by the relevant Regional Economic Development Council under the third and eighth criteria.

In arriving at recommendations for RNY Power for EDPAB's consideration, staff, among other things, attempted to maximize the economic benefits of low cost NYPA hydropower, the critical state asset at the core of the RNY Power Program, while attempting to ensure that each recipient receives a meaningful RNY Power allocation.

Business applicants with relatively high scores were recommended for allocations of retention RNY Power of 50% of the requested amount or average historic demand, whichever was lower. These allocations were capped at 10 MW for any recommended allocation. Not-for-profit corporation applicants that scored relatively high were recommended for allocations of 33% of the requested amount or average historic demand, whichever was lower. These allocations were capped at 5 MW. Applicants currently receiving hydropower allocations under other Authority power programs were recommended for allocations of RNY Power of 25% of the requested amount, subject to the caps as stated above.

RNY Power allocations have been awarded by the Trustees on thirteen prior occasions spanning from April 2012 through December 2015. Of the 200 MW block of RNY Power made available pursuant to Chapter 60 for business “expansion” purposes, 100.3 MW remain unallocated. Of the 100 MW of RNY Power that was set aside for not-for-profit corporations and small businesses pursuant to Chapter 60, 3.4 MW remain unallocated. Of the remaining RNY Power made available pursuant to Chapter 60, 24.9 MW remain unallocated.

These figures reflect Trustee actions on RNY Power applications taken prior to any actions the Trustees take today.

## DISCUSSION

### 1. Retention-Based RNY Power Allocations – Action Item

The Trustees are asked to address applications submitted via the CFA process for RNY Power retention-based allocations. Consistent with the evaluation process as described above, EDPAB recommended at its March 28, 2016 meeting that RNY Power retention allocations be awarded to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A.” Each business has committed to retain jobs in New York State and to make capital investments at their facilities in exchange for the recommended RNY Power allocations.

The RNY Power “retention” allocations identified in Exhibit “A” are each recommended for a term of seven years unless otherwise indicated. An allocation recommended by EDPAB qualifies the subject applicant to enter into a contract with the Authority for the purchase of the RNY Power. The Authority’s standard RNY Power contract template, approved by the Trustees at their March 27, 2012 meeting, contains provisions addressing such things as effective periodic audits of the recipient of an allocation for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an allocation if the recipient fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, relating to among other things, employment levels, power utilization, and capital investments. In addition, there is a requirement that a recipient of an allocation perform an energy efficiency audit at its facility not less than once during the first five years of the term of the allocation.

As noted in Exhibit “A,” some of these applicants are also being recommended for an expansion-based allocation, having satisfied the criteria for both components of the RNY Power Program.

### 2. Expansion-Based RNY Power Allocations – Action Item

The Trustees are also asked to address applications submitted for RNY Power expansion-based allocations via the CFA process which request allocations from the 200 MW block of RNY Power dedicated by statute for “for-profit” businesses that propose to expand existing businesses or create new business in the State. These applications sought a RNY Power allocation for either (i) expansion only, in the case of a new business or facility, or (ii) expansion *and* retention, in the case of an existing business. EDPAB recommended at its March 28, 2016 meeting that RNY Power expansion-based allocations be made to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B.” Each such allocation would be for a term of seven years unless otherwise indicated.

As with the evaluation process used for the retention recommendations described above, applications for the expansion-based RNY Power were scored based on the statutory criteria, albeit with a focus on information regarding each applicants’ specific project to expand or create their new facility or business (*e.g.*, the expansion project’s cost, associated job creation, and new electric load due to the expansion).

The respective amounts of the expansion-related allocations listed in Exhibit “B” are largely intended to provide approximately 70% of the individual expansion projects’ estimated new electric load. Because these projects have estimated new electric load amounts, and to ensure that an applicant’s overestimation of the amount needed would not cause that applicant to receive a higher proportion of RNY Power to new load, the allocations in Exhibit “B” are recommended based on an “up to” amount basis. Each of these applicants would be required to, among other commitments, add the new electric load as stated in its application, and would

be allowed to use up to the amount of their RNY Power allocation in the same proportion of the RNY Power allocation to requested load as stated in Exhibit “B.” The contracts for these allocations would also contain the standard provisions previously summarized in the last paragraph of Section 1 above.

3. **Small Business and Not-for-Profit-Based RNY Power Allocations – Action Item**

In addition, the Trustees are asked to address applications submitted via the CFA process for RNY Power for eligible small businesses and not-for-profit corporations. Chapter 60 specifies that no more than 100 MW of RNY Power may be made available for eligible small businesses and eligible not-for-profit corporations. Consistent with the evaluation process as described above, EDPAB recommended at its March 28, 2016 meeting that RNY Power allocations be awarded to the small business and not-for-profit applicants listed in Exhibit “C.” These applicants have committed to retain or create jobs in New York State and make capital investments to the extent indicated in Exhibit “C” in exchange for the recommended RNY Power allocations as described in Exhibit “C”. The RNY Power allocations identified in Exhibit “C” are recommended for a term of seven years except as otherwise indicated. The sale contract would contain the types of standard contract provisions summarized in Section 1 above.

4. **EDPAB Ineligibility Determinations – Informational Item**

At its meeting on March 28, 2016, EDPAB determined that the applicants listed on Exhibit “D” are not eligible for RNY Power for the reasons explained in Exhibit “D.” No action by the Trustees is required on these applications.

5. **EDPAB – Applicants Not Recommended – Informational Item**

At its meeting on March 28, 2016, EDPAB determined to not recommend the applicants listed on Exhibit “E” for a RNY Power allocation for the reasons specified on Exhibit “E”. No action by the Trustees is required on these applications.

6. **EDPAB – Termination of Application/Review Process – Informational Item**

At its meeting on March 28, 2016, EDPAB terminated the application review process for the applicants listed on Exhibit “F” for the reasons listed on Exhibit “F”. No action by the Trustees is required on this matter. In the past, some applicants in these circumstances have decided to refile and advance more complete applications for RNY Power.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The Manager, Business Power Allocations and Compliance recommends that the Trustees: (1) award the allocations of RNY Power for retention purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” as indicated therein; (2) award the allocations of RNY Power for expansion purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” as indicated therein; and (3) award the allocations of RNY Power for the small business and not-for-profit applicants identified in Exhibit “C” for both retention and expansion purposes as indicated therein.

For the reasons stated, I recommend the approval of the above-requested action by adoption of the resolution below.

Gil C. Quiniones  
President and Chief Executive Officer

## **RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, the Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“EDPAB”) has recommended that the Authority award Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power allocations for retention purposes to the applicants listed in Exhibit “A” in the amounts indicated; and

WHEREAS, EDPAB has recommended that the Authority award RNY Power allocations for expansion purposes to the applicants listed in Exhibit “B” in the amounts indicated; and

WHEREAS, EDPAB has recommended that the Authority award RNY Power allocations for retention and expansion purposes to the small business and not-for-profit corporation applicants listed in Exhibit “C” in the amount indicated;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, That, upon considering the foregoing and the attached Memorandum of the President and Chief Executive Officer and the accompanying exhibits, the Authority hereby awards allocations of RNY Power for retention purposes to the applicants listed on Exhibit “A” in the amounts indicated; and be it further

RESOLVED, That upon considering the foregoing and the attached Memorandum of the President and Chief Executive Officer and the accompanying exhibits, the Authority hereby awards the allocations of RNY Power for expansion purposes to the applicants listed on Exhibit “B” in the amounts indicated; and be it further

RESOLVED, That upon considering the foregoing and the attached Memorandum of the President and Chief Executive Officer and the accompanying exhibits, the Authority hereby awards the allocations of RNY Power for the small business and not-for-profit corporation applicants listed on Exhibit “C” in the amounts indicated; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Chairman, the Vice Chairman, the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Officer and all other officers of the Authority are, and each of them hereby is, authorized on behalf of the Authority to do any and all things, take any and all actions and execute and deliver any and all agreements, certificates and other documents to effectuate the foregoing resolution, subject to the approval of the form thereof by the Executive Vice President and General Counsel.

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation	Jobs Retained	Jobs Created	Total Job Commitment	Capital Investment (\$)	Contract Term (years)
1	PQ Recycling, LLC	East Farmingdale	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Recycling of plastic containers	477	236	30	0	30	\$1,500,000	7
	<b>Long Island Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>236</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	
2	STAVO Industries, Inc.	Kingston	Ulster	Mid-Hudson	CHUD	Manufacturer of filtration equipment	513	256	55	0	55	\$250,000	7
	<b>Mid-Hudson Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>256</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>\$250,000</b>	
3	Flexovit USA, Inc.	Angola	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of industrial abrasive products	1,597	796	45	50	95	\$6,835,120	7
4	Gintzler Graphics, Inc.	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of packaging components	623	310	55	0	55	\$2,000,000	<sup>(1)</sup> 7
5	HTI Recycling, LLC	Lockport	Niagara	Western New York	NYSEG	Tire recycling operations	1,747	870	70	0	70	\$2,000,000	7
	<b>Western New York Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>1,976</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>\$10,835,120</b>	

Totals

<b>2,468</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>\$12,585,120</b>
--------------	------------	-----------	------------	---------------------

<sup>(1)</sup> These companies are also recommended for expansion-related allocations of RNY for separate and distinct job creation and capital investment commitments associated with proposed business expansions.

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation (1)	Base Employment (3)	Job Creation Commitment	Project Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
1	John Hassall, Inc.	Westbury	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Manufactures fasteners	200	140	94	30	\$300,000	(4)	7
	<b>Long Island Region Sub-totals:</b>						<b>200</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>		
2	Gintzler Graphics, Inc.	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of packaging components	100	70	55	5	\$1,000,000	(2)	7
	<b>Western New York Region Sub-totals:</b>						<b>100</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>		

Totals

<b>210</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>\$1,300,000</b>
------------	----------	-----------	--------------------

- (1) All expansion-based RNY Power allocations are recommended to be "up to" the amount indicated pending the applicant's compliance with contractual commitments, including commitments relating to job creation, capital investment spending and power utilization.
- (2) These companies are also being recommended for retention-based RNY Power allocations associated with separate and distinct contractual commitments relating to such matters as job retention, capital investment spending and power utilization associated with an existing business.
- (3) The number of new jobs committed will be above a base employment level specified in the power sale contract with the applicant.
- (4) This applicant was previously approved for a retention-based RNY Power allocation. The base employment refers to this applicant's retained jobs which are already associated with an existing power allocation.

**Retention-Based Allocations**

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation	Jobs Retained	Jobs Created	Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
1	John, Mark, & Maureen J. Torrey Partnership	Lyndonville	Orleans	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Dairy farm	315	156	22	0	\$2,000,000	(1), (5)	7
2	Nicholas H. Noyes Memorial Hospital, Inc.	Geneseo	Livingston	Finger Lakes	RGE	Hospital	156	50	25	0	\$350,000	(5)	7
3	Traco Manufacturing, Inc.	Batavia	Genesee	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Manufacturer of packaging equipment	173	86	10	0	\$100,000	(1), (5)	7
	<b>Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>292</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$2,450,000</b>	(5)	
4	Advanced Material & Manufacturing Technology Innovation Center, Research Foundation Corp.	Plainview	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Serves manufacturers building prototypes	209	66	1	12	\$1,500,000	(5)	7
5	Aljo-Gefa Precision Manufacturing, LLC	Old Bethpage	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of aerospace parts	175	86	30	0	\$500,000	(5)	7
6	ChemBio Diagnostic Systems, Inc.	Medford	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Develops tests for disease detection	262	110	138	20	\$200,000	(5)	7
7	Hicksville Machine Works Corp.	Hicksville	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of aerospace parts	95	46	18	2	\$100,000	(5)	7
8	Local T.V., Inc.	Wainscott	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Public access television station	42	10	7	0	\$125,000	(5)	7
9	MPI Consulting, Inc.	West Babylon	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Supplier of aerospace machining components	128	60	8	0	\$150,000	(5)	7
10	Ozone Acquisition, LLC	Plainview	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Rehabilitation and nursing center	207	100	190	0	\$1,500,000	(5)	7
11	PCX Aerostructures, LLC	Ronkonkoma	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of aerospace parts	312	156	37	0	\$5,000,000	(5)	7
12	Qosina Corp.	Ronkonkoma	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Distributor of medical supplies	221	110	105	0	\$2,000,000	(5)	7
13	Sylhan, LLC	Edgewood	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of refractory metal products	226	110	21	0	\$500,000	(1), (5)	7
14	Top Hat Uniform, Inc.	Hicksville	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of custom-designed uniforms	83	40	44	5	\$50,000	(5)	7
	<b>Long Island Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>894</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>\$11,625,000</b>		

**Retention-Based Allocations**

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation	Jobs Retained	Jobs Created	Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
15	Nortek Powder Coating LLC	Rome	Oneida	Mohawk Valley	NGRID	Manufacturer of powder coating products	291	146	14	0	\$750,000	(1),(5)	7
16	Redco Foods, Inc.	Little Falls	Herkimer	Mohawk Valley	NGRID	Manufacturer of herbal teas and desserts	335	166	60	0	\$875,000	(5)	7
	<b>Mohawk Valley Region Sub-totals:</b>												
								<b>312</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$1,625,000</b>		
17	Aero Nav Laboratories, Inc.	College Point	Queens	New York City	CONED	Conducts environmental simulation testing	126	60	16	0	\$100,000	(5)	7
18	Banner Smoked Fish, Inc.	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Processing of smoked fish products	280	130	33	0	\$1,700,000	(5)	7
19	Control Electropolishing Corporation	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Metal finishing company	77	36	19	0	\$125,000	(1),(5)	7
20	TMI Trading	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Food manufacturing company	203	100	30	0	\$500,000	(5)	7
	<b>New York City Region Sub-totals:</b>												
								<b>326</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$2,425,000</b>		
21	Schum-Acres Dairy OPS, LLC	Naples	Ontario	Southern Tier	NYSEG	Dairy farm	234	116	35	0	\$1,500,000	(1),(5)	7
	<b>Southern Tier Region Sub-totals:</b>												
								<b>116</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>		

**Retention-Based Totals**

<b>1,940</b>	<b>863</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>\$19,625,000</b>
--------------	------------	-----------	---------------------

Expansion-Based Allocations

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation <sup>(7)</sup>	Base Employment <sup>(5)</sup>	Job Creation Commitment	Project Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
22	Seneca Street Enterprises, LLC	Manlius	Onondaga	Central New York	NGRID	Microbrewery	200	100	0	21	\$1,517,500	<sup>(6)</sup>	7
	<b>Central New York Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>\$1,517,500</b>		
23	John, Mark, & Maureen J. Torrey Partnership	Lyndonville	Orleans	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Dairy farm	350	176	22	14	\$13,000,000	<sup>(1), (2), (6)</sup>	7
24	Traco Manufacturing, Inc.	Batavia	Genesee	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Manufacturer of packaging equipment	100	50	10	3	\$685,000	<sup>(1), (2), (6)</sup>	7
25	Upstate Door, Inc.	Warsaw	Wyoming	Finger Lakes	NYSEG	Manufacturer of custom-made doors	150	76	55	15	\$950,000	<sup>(4), (6)</sup>	7
	<b>Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>302</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>\$14,635,000</b>		
26	Sylhan, LLC	Edgewood	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of refractory metal products	50	26	21	10	\$2,800,000	<sup>(1), (2), (6)</sup>	7
	<b>Long Island Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>\$2,800,000</b>		
27	Ulster-Greene ARC Foundation	Ellenville	Ulster	Mid-Hudson	CHUD	Services for the developmentally disabled	60	20	14	36	\$1,616,500	<sup>(6)</sup>	7
	<b>Mid-Hudson Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>20</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>\$1,616,500</b>		
28	Nortek Powder Coating LLC	Rome	Oneida	Mohawk Valley	NGRID	Manufacturer of powder coating products	44	20	14	4	\$1,000,000	<sup>(1), (2), (6)</sup>	7
	<b>Mohawk Valley Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>		
29	Control Electropolishing Corporation	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Metal finishing company	20	10	19	2	\$200,000	<sup>(1), (2), (6)</sup>	7
	<b>New York City Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>		

**Expansion-Based Allocations**

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation <sup>(7)</sup>	Base Employment <sup>(3)</sup>	Job Creation Commitment	Project Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
30	Schum-Acres Dairy OPS, LLC	Naples	Ontario	Southern Tier	NYSEG	Dairy farm	500	250	35	1	\$2,500,000	<sup>(1), (2), (6)</sup>	7
	<b>Southern Tier Region Sub-totals:</b>							<b>250</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>		

**Expansion-Based Totals**

<b>728</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>\$24,269,000</b>
------------	-----------	------------	---------------------

**Retention & Expansion-Based Totals**

<b>2,668</b>	<b>877</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>\$43,894,000</b>
--------------	------------	------------	---------------------

- (1) These applicants are being recommended for both RNY retention and expansion-based allocations.
- (2) The number of new jobs committed will be above a base employment level specified in the applicant's retention-based allocation recommendation.
- (3) The number of new jobs committed will be above a base employment level specified in the power sale contract with the applicant.
- (4) This applicant was previously approved for a retention-based RNY Power allocation. The base employment refers to this applicant's retained jobs which are already associated with an existing power allocation.
- (5) Indicates a retention-based allocation recommendation
- (6) Indicates an expansion-based allocation recommendation
- (7) All expansion-based RNY Power allocations are recommended to be "up to" the amount indicated pending the applicant's compliance with contractual commitments, including commitments relating to job creation, capital investment spending and power utilization.

New York Power Authority  
 ReCharge New York Power Program  
 Informational Item - Applicants Not Eligible

Exhibit "D"  
 March 29, 2016

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	Reason
1	Lunar Module Park LLC	Bethpage	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Studio rentals for film production	Retail business
2	CCNA Realty LLC	Yonkers	Westchester	Mid-Hudson	CONED	Provides office space to tenants	The project includes retail business components
3	Seneca Larkin 701, LLC	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Provides office space to tenants	The project includes retail business components
4	The Nail Belle	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Nail salon	Retail business
5	Fun Xcape, LLC	Watertown	Jefferson	North Country	NGRID	Indoor amusement park	Retail business

New York Power Authority  
 ReCharge New York Power Program  
 Informational Item - Applicant/Application Not Recommended for RNY Power Allocation

Exhibit "E"  
 March 29, 2016

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	Reason
1	Lunar Module Park LLC	Bethpage	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Studio rentals for film production	1) The applicant's power demand fluctuates significantly due to standard operating conditions and therefore an RNY allocation based on such fluctuating power demand would be unlikely to have a meaningful impact on the applicant's operating costs particularly during times of reduced demand. 2) The applicant itself can only commit to a small number of direct jobs due to the nature of its business. Other persons working at the facility would be employed by production companies renting out studio space and would not be subject to long term commitments by the applicant.
2	CCNA Realty LLC	Yonkers	Westchester	Mid-Hudson	CONED	Provides office space to tenants	Specific entities within the facility that would use and receive the benefit of an RNY allocation would not have a utility account or utility grade demand meter, and therefore it would not be possible for the utility to collect demand and usage data from specific users of RNY Power.
3	Seneca Larkin 701, LLC	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Provides office space to tenants	Specific entities within the facility that would use and receive the benefit of an RNY allocation would not have a utility account or utility grade demand meter, and therefore it would not be possible for the utility to collect demand and usage data from specific users of RNY Power.
4	Seward House Museum	Auburn	Cayuga	Central New York	NYSEG	Historical museum and landmark	The applicant's power demand is insufficient to support an award of RNY Power and to meet other program requirements, and a RNY Power allocation based on such power demand is unlikely to have a meaningful impact on the applicant's operating costs.

New York Power Authority  
 ReCharge New York Power Program  
 Informational Item - Terminate Application/Review Process

Exhibit "F"  
 March 29, 2016

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	Reason
1	Stone Management	Watervliet	Albany	Capital District	NGRID	Warehousing & transportation hub	Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.
2	Stellae International Inc.	Farmingdale	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Supply chain for fashion and luxury goods	Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.
3	Vox International Corporation	Hauppauge	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Office and administration facilities	Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.
4	Avatar Sustainable Technologies LLC	TBD	TBD	TBD	NGRID	Biomaterials manufacturer	Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.