1. Approval of the July 16, 2019 Proposed Meeting Agenda
2. Approval of the Minutes of the Meeting of March 4, 2019
3. Approval of Recharge New York Power Program – New Allocations
4. Approval of Recharge New York Power Allocation Extensions
5. Approval of Transfer of RNY Power Program Allocations

OTHER BUSINESS

6. Next Meeting
A regular meeting of the Economic Development Power Allocation Board was held via videoconference at the following participating locations:

1) New York Power Authority, 123 Main Street, White Plains, NY
2) New York Power Authority, 21 Hawkins Point Road, Massena, NY (St. Law. Visitor’s Center)
3) CWA, 80 Pine Street, 37th Floor, New York, NY
4) Silver Law Office, 44 Court Street, Canton, NY

The following Members of the Board were present:

**Eugene L. Nicandri**, Chair
**Dennis Trainor**, Member
**Andrew Silver**, Member

Also in attendance were:

Justin Driscoll          Executive Vice President & General Counsel
Karen Delince           Vice President & Corporate Secretary, NYPA
Dave Work                Director, Power Contracts & Tariffs, NYPA
Eric Bowers              Director, Economic Development
Emily Alkiewicz          Manager, Business Power Allocations & Compliance, NYPA
Yale Brown               Business Power Allocations & Compliance, Analyst II, NYPA
Lorna Johnson            Senior Associate Corporate Secretary, NYPA
Sheila Quatrocci         Associate Corporate Secretary, NYPA
Introduction

Chair Nicandri welcomed members of the Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“EDPAB”), Dennis Trainor and Andrew Silver. He also welcomed Authority senior staff to the meeting. He said that the meeting had been duly noticed as required by the Open Meetings Law and called the meeting to order pursuant to the EDPAB Bylaws, Article III, Section 2.

1. Approval of the Proposed Meeting Agenda

Chair Eugene Nicandri and Member Dennis Trainor declared no conflicts of interest based on the list of entities being considered for power allocations. Member Andrew Silver declared one conflict and recused himself from vote on Pall Corporation.

Upon motion made by Member Andrew Silver and seconded by Member Dennis Trainor, the Agenda for the July 16, 2019 meeting was adopted.
2. Approval of the Minutes

Upon motion made by Member Dennis Trainor and seconded by Member Andrew Silver, the Minutes of the Meeting held on March 4, 2019 were unanimously approved.

**SUMMARY**

The Economic Development Power Allocation Board ("EDPAB" or "Board") is requested to:

1. recommend that the New York Power Authority ("Authority" or "NYPA") Trustees ("Trustees") award allocations of Recharge New York ("RNY") Power available for "retention" purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit "A";

2. recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power available for "expansion" purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit "B";

3. recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power available for eligible small businesses and/or not-for-profit corporations to the entities listed in Exhibit “C”;

4. determine that the applicant listed in Exhibit “D” is ineligible for an allocation of RNY Power;

5. determine that the applicants listed in Exhibit “E” will not be recommended for an allocation of RNY Power; and

6. terminate the application review process for the applicant listed in Exhibit “F”.

The Board is further requested to recommend that, in addition to any other terms and conditions that the Authority determines in its discretion to be appropriate for the sale of any allocations recommended herein ("Allocations"), such terms and conditions include:

(1) provisions for effective periodic audits of the customer for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an Allocation if the holder fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, relating to, among other things, employment levels, power utilization, capital investments, and/or energy efficiency measures;

(2) a requirement that the customer (a) undertake at its own expense an energy audit of its facilities at which the allocation is consumed at least once during the term of the allocation absent good cause, and (b) provide the Authority with a copy of any such audit or, at the authority's option, a report describing the results of such audit, and provide documentation requested by the Authority relating to the implementation of any efficiency measures at the facilities; and

(3) an agreement by the customer to make its facilities available for audits and related assessments that the Authority desires to perform, if any, and provide information requested by the Authority or its designee in surveys, questionnaires and other information requests relating to energy efficiency and energy-related projects, programs and services.

**BACKGROUND**

On April 14, 2011, Governor Andrew M. Cuomo signed into law the RNY Power Program as part of Chapter 60 (Part CC) of the Laws of 2011 ("Chapter 60"). The program makes available 910 megawatts ("MW") of "RNY Power," 50% of which will be provided by the Authority’s hydropower resources and 50% of which will be procured by the Authority from other sources. RNY Power contracts can be for a term of up to 7 years in exchange for job and capital investment commitments.
RNY Power is available to businesses and not-for-profit corporations for job retention and business expansion and attraction purposes. Specifically, Chapter 60 provides that at least 350 MW of RNY Power shall be dedicated to facilities in the service territories served by the New York State Electric and Gas, National Grid and Rochester Gas and Electric utility companies; at least 200 MW of RNY Power shall be dedicated to the purpose of attracting new businesses and encouraging expansion of existing businesses statewide; and up to 100 MW shall be dedicated for eligible not-for-profit corporations and eligible small businesses statewide.

Under the statute, “eligible applicant” is defined to mean an eligible business, eligible small business, or eligible not-for-profit corporation, however, an eligible applicant shall not include retail businesses as defined by EDPAB, including, without limitation, sports venues, gaming or entertainment-related establishments or places of overnight accommodations. At its meeting on April 24, 2012, EDPAB defined a retail business as a business that is primarily used in making retail sales of goods or services to customers who personally visit such facilities to obtain goods or services, consistent with the rules previously promulgated by EDPAB for implementation of the Authority’s Economic Development Power program.

Prior to entering into a contract with an eligible applicant for the sale of RNY Power, and prior to the provision of electric service relating to a RNY Power allocation, the Authority must offer each eligible applicant that has received an award of RNY Power the option to decline to purchase the RNY Market Power component of such award. If the applicant declines to purchase the RNY Market Power component from the Authority, the Authority has no responsibility for supplying RNY Market Power component of the award.

As part of Governor Andrew M. Cuomo’s initiative to foster business activity and streamline economic development, applications for all statewide economic development programs, including the RNY Power Program, have been incorporated into a single on-line Consolidated Funding Application (“CFA”) marking a fundamental shift in how State economic development resources are marketed and allocated. Beginning in September 2011, the CFA was available to applicants. The CFA continues to serve as an efficient and effective tool to streamline and expedite the State’s efforts to generate sustainable economic growth and employment opportunities. All applications that are considered for an RNY Power allocation are submitted through the CFA process.

Applications for RNY Power are subject to a competitive evaluation process and are evaluated based on criteria set forth in the governing statutes (“RNY Statutes”): The statutory criteria are listed in Exhibit “G” to this memorandum.

RNY Power allocations have been awarded by the Trustees on twenty-two prior occasions spanning from April 2012 through March 2019. Of the 200 MW block of RNY Power made available pursuant to Chapter 60 for business “expansion” purposes, 88.1 MW remain unallocated. Of the 100 MW of RNY Power that is set aside for not-for-profit corporations and small businesses pursuant to Chapter 60, 1.3 MW remain unallocated. Of the remaining RNY Power made available pursuant to Chapter 60, 56.7 MW remain unallocated.

These figures reflect Trustee actions on RNY Power applications taken prior to any recommendations that EDPAB makes today.

An allocation recommended by EDPAB qualifies the subject applicant to enter into a contract with the Authority for the purchase of the RNY Power assuming that the Authority concurs with EDPAB and makes an allocation award.

DISCUSSION

For the current round of recommendations, Authority staff has reviewed applications seeking RNY Power allocations. Exhibits “A”, “B” and “C” list, among other things, a description of the applicant and its business, the amount of the allocation requested, the amount of the allocation recommended, the jobs that
would be created and/or retained, the proposed capital investment, and the proposed allocation term. Based on the evaluation of the criteria listed in Exhibit “G”, the applications were scored and ranked.

In arriving at recommendations for EDPAB’s consideration, staff, among other things, attempted to maximize the economic benefits of low cost NYPA hydropower, the critical state asset at the core of the RNY Power Program, while attempting to ensure that each recipient receives a meaningful RNY Power allocation.

Business applicants with relatively high scores were recommended for allocations of retention RNY Power of 50% of the requested amount or average historic demand, whichever was lower. These allocations were capped at 10 MW for any recommended allocation. Not-for-profit corporation applicants that scored relatively high were recommended for allocations of 33% of the requested amount or average historic demand, whichever was lower. These allocations were capped at 5 MW. Applicants currently receiving hydropower allocations under other Authority power programs were recommended for allocations of RNY Power of 25% of the requested amount, subject to the caps as stated above.

1. **Retention-Based RNY Power Allocations**

Staff recommends that EDPAB recommend to the NYPA Trustees that the applications listed on Exhibit “A” be awarded retention-based RNY Power allocations in the amounts indicated. Each business has stated a willingness to create or retain jobs in New York State. Additionally, these applicants will be committing to capital investments in exchange for the recommended RNY Power allocations. Unless otherwise indicated in Exhibit “A”, these applications seek a RNY Power allocation for job retention purposes only.

The RNY Power “retention” allocations identified in Exhibit “A” are each recommended for a term of 7 years unless otherwise indicated. The Authority’s RNY Power sale contract form will contain provisions addressing such matters as:

- supplemental commitments by the customer relating to job creation, capital investments and power usage;
- effective periodic audits of the recipient of an allocation for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an allocation if the recipient fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, relating to among other things, employment levels, power utilization, and capital investments;
- a requirement that a recipient of an allocation perform an energy efficiency audit at its facility; and
- an agreement by the customer to make its facilities available for audits and related assessments that the Authority desires to perform, and provide information requested by the Authority relating to energy efficiency and energy-related projects, programs and services

2. **Expansion-Based RNY Power Allocations**

Staff recommends that EDPAB recommend to the NYPA Trustees that the applications listed on Exhibit “B” be awarded expansion-based RNY Power allocations in the amounts indicated which would be sourced from the 200 MW block of RNY Power dedicated pursuant to statute for the businesses that propose to expand existing businesses or create new business in the State. Unless otherwise noted in Exhibit “B”, these applications seek a RNY Power allocation for expansion of an existing business or a new business/facility. Each such allocation would be for a term of 7 years unless otherwise indicated.

As with the evaluation process used for the retention recommendations described above, applications for the expansion-based RNY Power were scored based on the statutory criteria, albeit with a focus on information regarding each applicants’ specific project to expand or create their new facility or
business (e.g., the expansion project’s cost, associated job creation, and new electric load due to the expansion).

The respective amounts of the expansion-related allocations listed in Exhibit “B” are largely intended to provide approximately 70% of the individual expansion projects’ estimated new electric load. Because these projects have estimated new electric load amounts, and to ensure that an applicant’s overestimation of the amount needed would not cause that applicant to receive a higher proportion of RNY Power to new load, the allocations in Exhibit “B” are recommended on an “up to” amount basis. Each of these applicants would be required to, among other commitments, add the new electric load as stated in its application, and would be allowed to use up to the amount of their RNY Power allocation in the same proportion of the RNY Power allocation to requested load as stated in Exhibit “B.” The contracts for these allocations would also contain the provisions previously summarized in section 1 above.

3. **Small Business and/or Not-for-Profit RNY Power Allocations**

Staff also recommends that EDPAB recommend to the NYPA Trustees that the small business and/or not-for-profit applicants listed on Exhibit “C” be awarded RNY Power allocations in the amounts indicated therein. The applicants have committed to retain and/or create jobs in New York State and make capital investments in exchange for the recommended RNY Power allocations as described in Exhibit “C”. The contracts for these allocations would also contain the provisions previously summarized in section 1 above.

If the Trustees accept EDPAB’s recommendations and award RNY Power allocations to the small businesses and/or not-for-profit applicants listed in Exhibit “C”, the 100 MW block of power authorized by statute for these groups of customers will be close to fully allocated. Accordingly, a waiting list will be established for small businesses and not-for-profit applicants that are potentially eligible to be awarded RNY Power allocations when additional power becomes available.

4. **Ineligibility Determinations**

Based on its review of the application of the company listed in Exhibit “D”, staff recommends that the Board determine that the applicant listed on Exhibit “D” is not eligible to receive RNY Power for the reason stated in Exhibit “D”.

5. **Applications Not Recommended for RNY Power**

Based on its review of the applications of the companies listed in Exhibit “E”, staff recommends that the Board not recommend the applications listed on Exhibit “E” for RNY Power for the reasons described in Exhibit “E”.¹

6. **Termination of Application Review Process**

Staff recommends that the Board terminate the application review process for the applicant for RNY Power listed in Exhibit “F” on the grounds that the applicant listed has not been responsive to requests by staff for additional information, thereby preventing a complete analysis of the application and rendering the subject RNY Power application incomplete.

7. **Recommendations on Terms and Conditions**

Staff recommends that the Board recommend to the NYPA Trustees that, in addition to any other terms and conditions that the Authority determines in its discretion to be appropriate for the sale of the

¹ In view of the recommended disposition of the applications listed on Exhibit “E”, staff has not considered the eligibility of the applicants listed on Exhibit “E”, and is not making any recommendations concerning eligibility at this time.
allocations recommended by the Board as recommended in Exhibits “A”, “B”, and “C”, such terms and conditions include:

(a) provisions for effective periodic audits of the customer for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an Allocation if the business fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, including those relating to employment levels, capital investments, power usage and energy efficiency measures;

(b) a requirement that the customer undertake at its own expense an energy audit of its facilities at which the allocation is consumed absence good cause, and provide the Authority with a copy of any such audit or, at the Authority's option, a report describing the results of such audit, and provide documentation requested by the authority relating to the implementation of any efficiency measures at the facilities; and

(c) an agreement by the customer to make its facilities available for audits and related assessments that the authority desires to perform, if any, and provide information requested by the Authority or its designee in surveys, questionnaires and other information requests relating to energy efficiency and energy-related projects, programs and services.

RECOMMENDATION

For the reasons stated above, staff recommends that the Board:

1. Recommend that the Authority Trustees award allocations of RNY Power (a) for retention purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” in the amounts indicated therein, (b) for expansion purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” in the amounts indicated therein, and (c) to the small business and/or not-for-profit applicants listed in Exhibit “C” in the amounts indicated therein;

2. Recommend that terms and conditions for the sale of any such allocations include:
   (a) provisions for effective periodic audits of the customer for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an Allocation if the business fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, including those relating to employment levels, capital investments, power usage and energy efficiency measures;
   
   (b) a requirement that the customer undertake at its own expense energy audits of its facilities at which the allocation is consumed at least once during the term of the allocation absence good cause, and provide the Authority with a copy of any such audit or, at the Authority's option, a report describing the results of such audit, and provide documentation requested by the authority relating to the implementation of any efficiency measures at the facilities; and

   (c) an agreement by the customer to make its facilities available for audits and related assessments that the authority desires to perform, if any, and provide information requested by the Authority or its designee in surveys, questionnaires and other information requests relating to energy efficiency and energy-related projects, programs and services.

3. Determine that the applicant listed in Exhibit “D” is ineligible to receive an RNY Power allocation for the reasons discussed in Exhibit “D”.

4. Determine that the applicant(s) listed in Exhibit “E” will not be recommended for allocations of RNY Power for the reasons discussed in Exhibit “E”.

5. Determine that the application review process for the applicant listed in Exhibit “F” will be terminated for the reasons discussed above and in Exhibit “F”.
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Chairman Nicandri invited Mr. Eric Bowers, Director, Economic Development to present the Recharge New York Power Program – New Allocations item to the Board.

Mr. Bowers said staff is requesting that EDPAB recommend that the Authority’s Trustees approve 14 new and two modified Recharge New York (“RNY”) large business retention, large business expansion, and small business and not-for-profit based power allocations. 38 applications were evaluated for this period, all of which were submitted thru the State’s Consolidated Funding Application system. The applications included both retention and expansion-based power allocation requests, in addition to requests from small businesses and not-for-profit organizations.

Upon motion made by Member Dennis Trainor and seconded by Member Eugene Nicandri, the Recharge New York Power Program – New Allocations, as recommended by staff, were approved by the Board.

The following resolution was unanimously adopted by members of the Board present.

RESOLVED, That the Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“Board”) approves that the Board of Trustees (“Trustees”) of the Power Authority of the State of New York (“Authority”) award allocations of Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power for retention purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” to the attached memorandum of the Senior Vice President of Clean Energy Solutions (the “Attached Memorandum”) in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “A” and the Attached Memorandum; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board approves that the Authority Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for expansion purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” to the Attached Memorandum in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “B” and the Attached Memorandum; and be it further
RESOLVED, That the Board approves that the Authority Trustees award allocations of RNY
Power to the small businesses and/or not-for-profit corporations listed in Exhibit “C” to the
Attached Memorandum in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “C”
and the Attached Memorandum; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board approves that in addition to any other terms and conditions
that the Authority determines in its discretion to be appropriate for the sale of the allocations
recommended herein such terms and conditions include:

(1) provisions for effective periodic audits of the customer for the purpose of determining
contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an
Allocation if the business fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments,
including those relating to employment levels, capital investments, power usage and
energy efficiency measures;

(2) a requirement that the customer undertake at its own expense energy audits of its
facilities at which the allocation is consumed at least once during the term of the
allocation absence good cause, and provide the Authority with a copy of any such audit
or, at the Authority's option, a report describing the results of such audit, and provide
documentation requested by the authority relating to the implementation of any
efficiency measures at the facilities; and

(3) an agreement by the customer to make its facilities available for audits and related
assessments that the authority desires to perform, if any, and provide information
requested by the Authority or its designee in surveys, questionnaires and other
information requests relating to energy efficiency and energy-related projects,
programs and services; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the applicant and/or project listed in Exhibit “D” is ineligible for RNY
Power for the reasons discussed in the Attached Memorandum and Exhibit “D”; and be it further
RESOLVED, That the applicants listed in Exhibit “E” are not approved for RNY Power for the reasons discussed in the Attached Memorandum and Exhibit “E”; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the application review process for the applicant listed in Exhibit “F” is terminated for the reasons discussed in the Attached Memorandum and Exhibit “F”.

July 16, 2019
## Economic Development Power Allocation Board

### Recommendations - RNY Power Allocations for Retention Purposes

**July 16, 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Economic Development Region</th>
<th>IOU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>kW Request</th>
<th>kW Recommendation</th>
<th>Jobs Retained</th>
<th>Jobs Created</th>
<th>Total Job Commitment</th>
<th>Capital Investment ($)</th>
<th>Contract Term (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Forteq North America, Inc.</td>
<td>West Henrietta</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td>RGE</td>
<td>Manufacturer of automotive system components</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>396</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Island International Exterior Fabricators LLC</td>
<td>Calverton</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Manufacturer of exterior wall panels</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>(1) 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>U.S. Alliance Paper, Inc.</td>
<td>Edgewood</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Manufacturer of household paper products</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long Island Region Sub-totals:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>872</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,550,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tempo Glass Fabrication LLC</td>
<td>Flushing</td>
<td>Queens</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Glass tempering &amp; fabrication services</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New York City Region Sub-totals:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>286</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,554</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$6,650,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) These companies are also recommended for expansion-related allocations of RNY for separate and distinct job creation and capital investment commitments associated with proposed business expansions.
### Economic Development Power Allocation Board

#### Recommendations - RNY Power Allocations for Expansion Purposes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Economic Development Region</th>
<th>IOU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>kW Request</th>
<th>kW Recommendation (1)</th>
<th>Base Employment (2)</th>
<th>Job Creation Commitment</th>
<th>Project Capital Investment ($)</th>
<th>Contract Term (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bausch &amp; Lomb Incorporated</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td>RGE</td>
<td>Manufacturer of contact lenses</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$148,020,341</td>
<td>(4) 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$148,020,341</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>600</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td><strong>$148,020,341</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LIF Industries, Inc.</td>
<td>Port Washington</td>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Producer &amp; distributor of fireproof doors</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>(4) 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Island International Exterior Fabricators LLC</td>
<td>Calverton</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Manufacturer of exterior wall panels</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
<td>(2) 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Long Island Region Sub-totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>506</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>120</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7,400,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,106</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>220</strong></td>
<td><strong>$155,420,341</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) All expansion-based RNY Power allocations are recommended to be “up to” the amount indicated pending the applicant’s compliance with contractual commitments, including commitments relating to job creation, capital investment spending and power utilization.

(2) These companies are also being recommended for retention-based RNY Power allocations associated with separate and distinct contractual commitments relating to such matters as job retention, capital investment spending, and power utilization associated with an existing business.

(3) The number of new jobs committed will be above a base employment level specified in the power sale contract with the applicant.

(4) This applicant was previously approved for RNY Power allocations. The base employment level refers to the applicant's retained jobs, most of which are already associated with an existing power allocation.
### Retention-Based Allocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Economic Development Region</th>
<th>IOU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>kW Request</th>
<th>kW Request Recommendation</th>
<th>Jobs Retained</th>
<th>Jobs Created</th>
<th>Capital Investment ($)</th>
<th>Contract Term (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HealthAlliance Hospital Mary's Avenue Campus</td>
<td>Kingston</td>
<td>Ulster</td>
<td>Mid-Hudson</td>
<td>CHUD</td>
<td>Hospital &amp; healthcare center</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mid-Hudson Region Sub-totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>306</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Long Island Jewish Medical Center</td>
<td>New Hyde Park</td>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Medical offices facility</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>North Shore University Hospital</td>
<td>Great Neck</td>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Medical offices &amp; emergency services</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Northwell Healthcare, Inc.</td>
<td>Manhasset</td>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Facilities services &amp; corporate healthcare offices</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Northwell Healthcare, Inc.</td>
<td>New Hyde Park</td>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Corporate healthcare offices facility</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Northwell Healthcare, Inc.</td>
<td>Westbury</td>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>System data center &amp; corporate healthcare offices</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$115,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Long Island Region Sub-totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$840,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Retention-Based Totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>992</td>
<td>3,544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Expansion-Based Allocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Economic Development Region</th>
<th>IOU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>kW Request</th>
<th>kW Recommendation (1)</th>
<th>Base Employment</th>
<th>Job Creation Commitment</th>
<th>Project Capital Investment ($)</th>
<th>Contract Term (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fieldtex Products Inc.</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td>RGE</td>
<td>Manufacturer of first aid &amp; medical kits</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$6,300,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$6,300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Expansion-Based Totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$6,300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Retention &amp; Expansion-Based Totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,042</td>
<td>3,724</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$22,140,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) All expansion-based RNY Power allocations are recommended to be “up to” the amount indicated pending the applicant’s compliance with contractual commitments, including commitments relating to job creation, capital investment spending and power utilization.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Economic Development Region</th>
<th>IOU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>FreeMoneyClick</td>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>Chenango</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Coffee shop facility</td>
<td>The applicant's business falls within EDPAB's definition of a retail business. Specifically, the applicant operates a business that is primarily used in making retail sales of goods or services to customers who personally visit such facilities to obtain goods or services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Economic Development Region</td>
<td>IOU</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bad Drip Inc.</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td>RGE</td>
<td>Distributor of e-juice &amp; vaping products</td>
<td>Specific entities within the facility that would use and receive the benefit of an RNY allocation would not have a utility account or utility grade demand meter, and therefore it would not be possible for the utility to collect demand and usage data from specific users of RNY Power.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The Family Resource Center Of Peekskill, Inc.</td>
<td>Peekskill</td>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>Mid-Hudson</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Family &amp; human services agency</td>
<td>The facility lacks demand metering preventing RNY Power delivery and billing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Given the proposed disposition of these applications, the eligibility of these applicants for an RNY Power allocation has not been considered at this time.
### Informational Item - Terminate Application/Review Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Economic Development Region</th>
<th>IOU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mason Farms Operating Co., LLC</td>
<td>Williamson</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td>RGE</td>
<td>Fruit and vegetable farm</td>
<td>Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT G
(Statutory Criteria – RNY Power Program)

• the significance of the cost of electricity to the applicant's overall cost of doing business, and the impact that a Recharge New York power allocation will have on the applicant's operating costs;

• the extent to which a Recharge New York power allocation will result in new capital investment in the state by the applicant;

• the extent to which a Recharge New York power allocation is consistent with any regional economic development council strategies and priorities;

• the type and cost of buildings, equipment and facilities to be constructed, enlarged or installed if the applicant were to receive an allocation;

• the applicant's payroll, salaries, benefits and number of jobs at the facility for which a Recharge New York power allocation is requested;

• the number of jobs that will be created or retained within the state in relation to the requested Recharge New York power allocation, and the extent to which the applicant will agree to commit to creating or retaining such jobs as a condition to receiving a Recharge New York power allocation;

• whether the applicant, due to the cost of electricity, is at risk of closing or curtailing facilities or operations in the state, relocating facilities or operations out of the state, or losing a significant number of jobs in the state, in the absence of a Recharge New York power allocation;

• the significance of the applicant's facility that would receive the Recharge New York power allocation to the economy of the area in which such facility is located;

• the extent to which the applicant has invested in energy efficiency measures, will agree to participate in or perform energy audits of its facilities, will agree to participate in energy efficiency programs of the authority, or will commit to implement or otherwise make tangible investments in energy efficiency measures as a condition to receiving a Recharge New York power allocation;

• whether the applicant receives a hydroelectric power allocation or benefits supported by the sale of hydroelectric power under another program administered in whole or in part by the New York Power Authority;

• the extent to which a Recharge New York power allocation will result in an advantage for an applicant in relation to the applicant’s competitors within the state; and

• in addition to the foregoing criteria, in the case of a not-for-profit corporation, whether the applicant provides critical services or substantial benefits to the local community in which the facility for which the Recharge New York power allocation is requested is located.
4. **Recharge New York Power Allocation Extensions**

**SUMMARY**

The Economic Development Power Allocation Board ("EDPAB" or "Board") is requested to recommend that the New York Power Authority ("Authority" or "NYPA") Trustees ("Trustees") extend each of the existing 30 allocations of Recharge New York ("RNY") Power ("Allocation" or collectively "Allocations") awarded to the businesses listed in Exhibit "A" for a term of 7 years. The term would commence on the expiration of each such existing Allocation, or in the Authority’s discretion, on a date to be agreed upon by the parties, for a term not to exceed 7 years (collectively, the "Extended Term").

The Board is further requested to recommend that, in addition to any other terms and conditions that the Authority determines in its discretion to be appropriate for the sale of the Allocations as extended ("Extended Allocation"), such terms and conditions include:

(4) provisions for effective periodic audits of the customer whose Allocation is extended for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of the Extended Allocation if the customer fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, relating to, among other things, employment levels, power utilization, capital investments, and/or energy efficiency measures;

(5) a requirement that the customer whose Allocation is extended (a) undertake at its own expense energy audit of its facilities at which the Extended Allocation is consumed absent good cause, and (b) provide the Authority with a copy of any such audit or, at the authority’s option, a report describing the results of such audit, and provide documentation requested by the Authority relating to the implementation of any efficiency measures at the facilities; and

(6) an agreement by the customer whose Allocation is extended to make its facilities available for audits and related assessments that the Authority desires to perform, if any, and provide information requested by the Authority or its designee in surveys, questionnaires and other information requests relating to energy efficiency and energy-related projects, programs and services.

Finally, the Board is also requested to recommend that the Trustees approve modifications related to previously extended RNY Power allocations for the customers listed in Exhibit "B". The allocations for these customers were conditionally extended on the basis of employment and other supplemental commitments they made in their existing power sale contracts with the Authority. Since that time, the Authority has received additional information relating to the circumstances of these customers and has conferred with the customers concerning such issues as power needs, employment and capital investment commitments, and/or other relevant matters. Based on this information, staff is requesting that the Board recommend that the Authority Trustees make the changes to the allocations and/or supplemental commitments for the customers identified in Exhibit "B" for the reasons detailed in Exhibit "B".

**BACKGROUND**

On April 14, 2011, Governor Andrew M. Cuomo signed into law the RNY Power Program as part of Chapter 60 (Part CC) of the Laws of 2011. The RNY Power Program is codified primarily in Economic Development Law ("EDL") § 188-a and Public Authorities Law ("PAL") § 1005(13-a). The program makes available 910 megawatts ("MW") of "RNY Power," 50% of which will be provided by the Authority’s resources and 50% of which will be procured by the Authority from other sources. RNY Power contracts can be for a term of up to 7 years in exchange for job and capital investment commitments. RNY Power is available to businesses and not-for-profit corporations for job retention and business expansion and attraction.
“Eligible applicant” is defined by statute to mean an eligible business, eligible small business, or eligible not-for-profit corporation, however, an eligible applicant shall not include retail businesses as defined by EDPAB, including, without limitation, sports venues, gaming or entertainment-related establishments or places of overnight accommodations.

RNY Power allocation awards are comprised of 50% hydropower and 50% Authority-procured market power. Prior to entering into a contract with an eligible applicant for the sale of RNY power, and prior to the provision of electric service relating to the RNY power allocation, the Authority shall offer each eligible applicant the option to decline to purchase the RNY market power component of such allocation. If an eligible applicant declines to purchase the RNY market power component, the Authority has no responsibility for supplying such market power to the eligible applicant.

Under applicable law, applications for RNY Power are first considered by EDPAB. EDPAB is authorized to recommend applicants to the Authority Trustees that it believes should receive an award of RNY Power based on applicable statutory criteria and other pertinent considerations. The statutory criteria are listed in Exhibit “C” to this memorandum.

An allocation recommended by EDPAB qualifies the subject applicant to enter into a contract with the Authority for the purchase of the RNY Power if the Authority makes an allocation award.

Currently, there are 762 customers who have been awarded a collective total of 866 allocations of RNY Power.

At its last meeting held on March 4, 2019, EDPAB recommended that the Trustees extend 72 RNY Power allocations. These customers were among the original RNY Power applicants to receive RNY Power at the inception of the RNY Power program in 2012. The NYPA Trustees, at their meeting on March 26, 2019, accepted EDPAB’s recommendations and authorized extensions of the 72 RNY Power allocations.

EDPAB is now being requested to recommend that the Trustees extend an additional 30 RNY Power Allocations that are listed in Exhibit “A” for customers who are also among the original RNY Power applicants to receive RNY Power allocations at the inception of the RNY Power program in 2012.

In addition, the Board is being asked to recommend to the Trustees that modifications be made to the RNY Power allocations previously extended for the customers identified on Exhibit “B”, and/or the supplemental commitments for job and/or capital investments related to the previously extended allocations, as specified in Exhibit “B”, for the reasons discussed below and in Exhibit “B”.

DISCUSSION

1. Extension of Existing Allocations

For the current round of recommendations, Authority staff has reviewed applications from 30 RNY Power customers who have filed applications requesting that their existing RNY Power allocations be extended. Exhibit “A” lists, among other things, the name of each such customer, the amount of its current Allocation, and each customer’s supplemental commitments for jobs and capital investments under its existing RNY Power contract with the Authority (the “Existing Contract”). A copy of each application has also been made available to the Board. Staff’s review has included on a customer-specific basis consideration of such issues as the amount of each Allocation that would be extended, the supplemental commitments that these customers have made under their Existing Contract and are prepared to make as consideration for an extension, and the customer’s compliance status under its Existing Contract, including its compliance with supplemental commitments for jobs and capital investments.

Staff has concluded that the businesses listed on Exhibit “A”, which are located throughout the State, continue to bring valuable benefits to the State. In total, the Allocations listed in Exhibit “A” are
supporting the retention of nearly 38,000 jobs and over $1.4 billion in capital investments throughout New York State, and the Authority will require customers to commit to the same or substantially similar supplemental commitments for jobs and capital investments that are contained in Exhibit “A” for the Extended Term. Staff believes that an extension of each Allocation of the customers listed on Exhibit “A” is warranted and is consistent with the statutory criteria that are used to evaluate applications for an award of RNY Power which are summarized in Exhibit “C”. Each Allocation that would be extended is identified in Exhibit “A”. As described above, each Allocation would be extended for a term not to exceed 7 years.

In summary, EDL § 188-a (c)(2) provides that a recommendation by the EDPAB that the Authority provide a RNY Power allocation to an eligible applicant shall include: (1) the amount of the RNY Power allocation the Board is recommending should be awarded to such eligible applicant and an effective term of the allocation which shall not exceed 7 years; (2) provisions for effective periodic audits of the recipient of an allocation for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an allocation if the recipient fails to maintain commitments, relating to such things as employment levels, power utilization, capital investments, and/or energy efficiency measures; (3) requirements for an agreement by the recipient of an allocation undertake at its own expense an energy audit of its facilities at which the allocation is consumed modified by the authority on a showing of good cause by the recipient, and that the recipient provide the Authority with a copy of any such audit or a report describing the results of such audit; and (4) a requirement for an agreement by the recipient of an allocation to make its facilities available at reasonable times and intervals for energy audits and related assessments that the authority desires to perform. In addition, the Board’s recommendation shall require that if the actual metered load at the facility where the allocation is utilized is less than the allocation, such allocation will be reduced accordingly.

If EDPAB recommends the extension of the Allocations and the NYPA Trustees accept such recommendations, the sale of the Extended Allocations would be governed by an Authority contract form that was approved by the Authority’s Trustees on March 26, 2019. Consistent with EDL § 188-a (c)(2) discussed above, the contract form contains provisions addressing such matters as effective periodic audits of the customer for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, including supplemental commitments for jobs, capital investment and power utilization, and the partial or complete withdrawal of an RNY Power if the recipient fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments relating to among other things the aforementioned supplemental commitments. In addition, the contract will require that the customer perform an energy efficiency audit at its facility and provide access to the facility at the Authority’s request.

2. Modifications Relating to Previously-Extended Allocations

The Board is also asked to recommend that the Trustees approve modifications related to RNY Power allocations previously extended by the Authority for the customers listed in Exhibit “B” for the reasons described in Exhibit “B”.

The allocations for these customers were previously extended on the basis of the amount of their existing allocations and the supplemental commitments for jobs and capital investments made in their existing power sale contract with the Authority. Since this time, the Authority has received additional information on the circumstances of these customers and has conferred with the customers concerning such matters as the customer’s power needs, ability to sustain employment and capital investment commitments, and/or other relevant matters. The specific modifications proposed and the reasons for such modifications are detailed in Exhibit “B”.

RECOMMENDATION

For the reasons stated above, staff recommends that EDPAB:
(1) Recommend to the NYPA Trustees that each of the existing 30 Allocations of RNY Power awarded to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” be extended for a term of 7 years, to commence on the expiration of such Allocation, or at the Authority’s discretion on a date to be agreed upon by the parties for a term not to exceed 7 years.

(2) Recommend to the NYPA Trustees that, in addition to any other terms and conditions that the Authority determines in its discretion to be appropriate for the sale of the Extended Allocations, such terms and conditions include:

(d) provisions for effective periodic audits of the customer whose Allocation is extended for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an Extended Allocation if the business fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, including those relating to employment levels, capital investments, power usage and energy efficiency measures;

(e) a requirement that the customer whose Allocation is extended undertake at its own expense energy audit of its facilities at which the Extended Allocation is consumed at least once during the term of the allocation absence good cause, and provide the Authority with a copy of any such audit or, at the Authority’s option, a report describing the results of such audit, and provide documentation requested by the authority relating to the implementation of any efficiency measures at the facilities; and

(f) an agreement by the customer whose Allocation is extended to make its facilities available for energy audits and related assessments that the authority desires to perform, if any, and provide information requested by the Authority or its designee in surveys, questionnaires and other information requests relating to energy efficiency and energy-related projects, programs and services.

(3) Recommend that the NYPA Trustees approve the modifications/adjustments to the previously extended allocations and/or supplemental commitments for the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “B”.

Chairman Nicandri invited Mr. Eric Bowers, Director, Economic Development, to present the Recharge New York Power Allocation Extensions item to the Board.

Mr. Bowers said staff is requesting that EDPAB recommend that the Authority’s Trustees approve contract extensions for 30 allocations of Recharge New York power to existing customers and to recommend that the trustees approve modifications to the supplemental commitments of previously awarded RNY extension allocations. All applications were submitted thru the State’s Consolidated Funding Application (CFA) system. An extension component was added to the ReCharge New York application to allow existing customers to apply through the CFA for contract renewals.

As with new applicants, the extension applications were evaluated on a competitive basis in consideration of the 12 criteria in the RNY legislation.
Upon motion made by Member Eugene Nicandri and seconded by Member Dennis Trainor, the Recharge New York Power Allocation Extensions, as recommended by staff, were approved by the Board with the exception of Member Andrew Silver stating a conflict of interest with the Pall Corporation and recused himself.

The following resolution was adopted, as amended by members of the Board present.

RESOLVED, That the Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“Board”) approves that the Board of Trustees (“Trustees”) of the Power Authority of the State of New York (“Authority”) extend each of the existing 30 allocations of Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power (“Allocation” or collectively “Allocations”) awarded to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” to the attached memorandum of the Senior Vice President of Clean Energy Solutions for a term of 7 years, based on the supplemental employment and capital investment commitments listed in Exhibit “A”, to commence (1) on the expiration of each such Allocation, or (2) at the Authority’s discretion on a date to be agreed upon by the Authority and the customer, for a term not to exceed 7 years; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board further approves that in addition to any other terms and conditions that the Authority determines in its discretion to be appropriate for the sale of the Extensions as extended (“Extended Allocations”), such terms and conditions include:

(1) provisions for effective periodic audits of the customer whose Allocation is extended for the purpose of determining contract and RNY Power program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an Extended Allocation if the customer fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, including specifically commitments relating to, among other things, employment levels, power utilization, capital investments, and/or energy efficiency measures;
(2) a requirement that the customer whose Allocation is extended (a) undertake at its own expense an energy audit of its facilities at which the Extended Allocation would be consumed at least once during the term of the Extended Allocation absence good cause as determined by the Authority, and (b) provide the Authority with a copy of any such audit or, at the Authority’s option, a report describing the results of such audit, and provide documentation requested by the authority relating to the implementation of any efficiency measures at the facilities; and

(3) an agreement by the customer whose Allocation is extended to make its facilities available for audits and related assessments that the authority desires to perform, if any, and provide information requested by the authority or its designee in surveys, questionnaires and other information requests relating to energy efficiency and energy-related projects, programs and services; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board further approves that the Trustees approve the modifications/adjustments to the allocations and/or supplemental commitments for jobs and capital investments for the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” to the attached memorandum of the Vice President-Economic Development for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “B”.
### Retention-Based Allocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Economic Development Region</th>
<th>IOU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current kW</th>
<th>kW Recommendation</th>
<th>Job Commitments</th>
<th>Capital Investment Commitment ($)</th>
<th>Contract Term (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Amneal Pharmaceuticals of New York, LLC</td>
<td>Hauppauge</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Manufactures pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>$350,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Amneal Pharmaceuticals of New York, LLC</td>
<td>Brookhaven</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Manufactures pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>$30,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arnot Ogden Medical Center</td>
<td>Elmira</td>
<td>Chenango</td>
<td>Southern Tier</td>
<td>NYSEG</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>1,950</td>
<td>$15,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BASF Corporation</td>
<td>East Setauket</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Supplies products to the chemicals and plastics industries</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Battistoni Italian Specialty Meats, LLC</td>
<td>Buffalo</td>
<td>Erie</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
<td>NGRID</td>
<td>Manufacturer of Italian meat products</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cannon Industries, Inc.</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td>RGE</td>
<td>Fabrication and powder coating services</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CPP-Syracuse, Inc.</td>
<td>Chittenango</td>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
<td>NGRID</td>
<td>Metal casting foundry for turbine parts</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>$10,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>D'Addario &amp; Company, Inc.</td>
<td>Farmingdale</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Manufacturer of musical instrument strings</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>$13,300,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Geneva General Hospital</td>
<td>Geneva</td>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td>NYSEG</td>
<td>Hospital &amp; healthcare center</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>$16,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>International Controls &amp; Measurements Corp.</td>
<td>Syracuse</td>
<td>Onondaga</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
<td>NGRID</td>
<td>Manufacturer of electronic HVAC controls</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>$3,500,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>International Paper Company</td>
<td>Ticonderoga</td>
<td>Essex</td>
<td>North Country</td>
<td>NGRID</td>
<td>Manufactures paper</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>$25,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Jetro Cash and Carry Enterprises, LLC</td>
<td>Bronx</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Wholesale groceries, food &amp; restaurant supplies</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Jetro Cash and Carry Enterprises, LLC</td>
<td>Queens</td>
<td>Queens</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Wholesale groceries, food &amp; restaurant supplies</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jetro Cash and Carry Enterprises, LLC</td>
<td>Brooklyn</td>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Wholesale groceries, food &amp; restaurant supplies</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Jetro Cash and Carry Enterprises, LLC</td>
<td>Brooklyn</td>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Wholesale groceries, food &amp; restaurant supplies</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (“JPMC”)</td>
<td>Brooklyn &amp; New York</td>
<td>Kings &amp; New York</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Financial services for global bank</td>
<td>18,666</td>
<td>18,666</td>
<td>22,196</td>
<td>$1,200,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L.N.K. International Inc.</td>
<td>Hauppauge</td>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>LIPA</td>
<td>Manufactures pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>1,583</td>
<td>$8,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Life Technologies Corporation</td>
<td>Grand Island</td>
<td>Erie</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
<td>NGRID</td>
<td>Research &amp; development in the life sciences</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>$5,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Maimonides Medical Center</td>
<td>Brooklyn</td>
<td>Kings</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Medical center</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>5,622</td>
<td>$125,000,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Mohawk Fine Papers Inc.</td>
<td>Cohoes &amp; Waterford</td>
<td>Albany &amp; Saratoga</td>
<td>Capital District</td>
<td>NGRID</td>
<td>Manufactures paper products</td>
<td>3,536</td>
<td>3,536</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>$17,500,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Mold-A-Matic Corporation</td>
<td>Oneonta</td>
<td>Oneonta</td>
<td>Mohawk Valley</td>
<td>NYSEG</td>
<td>Manufacturer of plastic components</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Industry Product</td>
<td>E&amp;M 1</td>
<td>E&amp;M 2</td>
<td>E&amp;M 3</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation</td>
<td>Bethpage, Nassau, Long Island, LIPA</td>
<td>R&amp;D, engineering, and design</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>O.W. Hubbell &amp; Sons, Inc.</td>
<td>Yorkville, Oneida, Mohawk Valley, NGRID</td>
<td>Galvanizing facility</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Pall Corporation</td>
<td>Port Washington, Nassau, Long Island, LIPA</td>
<td>Manufacturer of filtration &amp; separation products</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Pall Corporation</td>
<td>Hauppauge, Suffolk, Long Island, LIPA</td>
<td>Manufacturer of filtration &amp; separation products</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>RD America, LLC</td>
<td>Garden City, Suffolk, Long Island, LIPA</td>
<td>Wholesale groceries, food &amp; restaurant supplies</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Restaurant Depot, LLC</td>
<td>Maspeth, Queens, New York City, LIPA</td>
<td>Wholesale groceries, food &amp; restaurant supplies</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Restaurant Depot, LLC</td>
<td>Bohemia, Suffolk, Long Island, LIPA</td>
<td>Distributes wholesale groceries</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Steinway and Sons</td>
<td>Astoria, New York, New York City, CONED</td>
<td>Manufactures and sells pianos</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>$7,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>The Indium Corporation of America</td>
<td>Clinton, Rome, Utica, Mohawk Valley, NGRID</td>
<td>Manufacturer of electronic assembly materials</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>$10,650,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Totals**

|       |             |             |             |       |       |       | **$1,492,750,000** |

(1) The customer is being recommended for an RNY Power extension recommendation at a decreased kW amount due to their reduced level of extension jobs committed as compared to their current contractual employment commitment.

(2) The customer requested an RNY Power extension recommendation at a lower kW amount as compared to their current RNY award. The remaining amount associated with their current award will be relinquished.

(3) The customer is being recommended for an RNY Power extension as a campus setting to accommodate multiple facilities. Their original RNY Power allocations were awarded separately for multiple locations. The extension-related campus setting structure will provide more flexibility to these customers in terms of shifting employment and/or capital spending levels across their facilities.
### Retention-Based Allocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Economic Development Region</th>
<th>IOU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Final kW Recommendation</th>
<th>Final Job Commitments</th>
<th>Final Capital Investment Commitment ($)</th>
<th>Contract Term (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CBS Broadcasting Inc.</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>CONED</td>
<td>Broadcasts radio and television</td>
<td>7,350</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>$200,000,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kraft Heinz Foods Company</td>
<td>Avon</td>
<td>Livingston</td>
<td>Finger Lakes</td>
<td>NGRID</td>
<td>Manufactures packaged food products</td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tessy Plastics Corp.</td>
<td>Elbridge</td>
<td>Onondaga</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
<td>NYSEG</td>
<td>Manufacturer of plastic parts</td>
<td>2,530</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Since its existing allocation was conditionally extended, CBS Broadcasting Inc. has asked to reduce its employment commitment from 3,479 to 3,400 jobs. Given the relatively modest amount of this reduction, a corresponding reduction in the company’s Extended Allocation of 7,350 kW is not being recommended.

(2) Since its existing allocation was conditionally extended, Kraft Heinz Food Company has asked to reduce its employment commitment from 332 to 220 jobs. Staff is recommending a reduction in the company’s Extended Allocation from 2,520 kW to 1,920 kW to reflect this revised employment commitment.

(3) Since its existing allocation was conditionally extended, Tessy Plastics Corp. has asked to reduce its employment commitment from 700 to 630 jobs. At this time, no reduction is being recommended to the Extended Allocation amount of 2,530 kW. Given the relatively modest amount of this reduction, a corresponding reduction in the company’s Extended Allocation of 2,530 is not being recommended.
EXHIBIT C
(Statutory Criteria – RNY Power Program)

• the significance of the cost of electricity to the applicant's overall cost of doing business, and the impact that a Recharge New York power allocation will have on the applicant's operating costs;

• the extent to which a Recharge New York power allocation will result in new capital investment in the state by the applicant;

• the extent to which a Recharge New York power allocation is consistent with any regional economic development council strategies and priorities;

• the type and cost of buildings, equipment and facilities to be constructed, enlarged or installed if the applicant were to receive an allocation;

• the applicant's payroll, salaries, benefits and number of jobs at the facility for which a Recharge New York power allocation is requested;

• the number of jobs that will be created or retained within the state in relation to the requested Recharge New York power allocation, and the extent to which the applicant will agree to commit to creating or retaining such jobs as a condition to receiving a Recharge New York power allocation;

• whether the applicant, due to the cost of electricity, is at risk of closing or curtailing facilities or operations in the state, relocating facilities or operations out of the state, or losing a significant number of jobs in the state, in the absence of a Recharge New York power allocation;

• the significance of the applicant's facility that would receive the Recharge New York power allocation to the economy of the area in which such facility is located;

• the extent to which the applicant has invested in energy efficiency measures, will agree to participate in or perform energy audits of its facilities, will agree to participate in energy efficiency programs of the authority, or will commit to implement or otherwise make tangible investments in energy efficiency measures as a condition to receiving a Recharge New York power allocation;

• whether the applicant receives a hydroelectric power allocation or benefits supported by the sale of hydroelectric power under another program administered in whole or in part by the New York Power Authority;

• the extent to which a Recharge New York power allocation will result in an advantage for an applicant in relation to the applicant's competitors within the state; and

• in addition to the foregoing criteria, in the case of a not-for-profit corporation, whether the applicant provides critical services or substantial benefits to the local community in which the facility for which the Recharge New York power allocation is requested is located.
5. **Transfer of RNY Power Program Allocations**

**SUMMARY**

The Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“Board”) is requested to approve the transfer of four Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power program allocations discussed below from Kraft Foods Global, Inc. (“Kraft Foods”) to Kraft Heinz Foods Company (“Kraft Heinz”) in order to address organizational changes.

The Board has previously approved transfers of RNY Power allocations in similar circumstances. If the Board approves the requested transfers, the Trustees of the New York Power Authority (“NYPA”) will also be requested to approve the transfers.

**DISCUSSION**

NYPA awarded Kraft Foods the following four RNY Power program-related allocations:

1. a 1,210 kW RNY Hydropower allocation for use at its Kraft Food’s facility at 261 Delaware Street, Walton, New York which produces packaged dairy products;
2. a 2,520 kW RNY Hydropower allocation for use at its facility at 140 Spring Street, Avon, New York, which produces Cool Whip and Oscar Mayer Lunchables;
3. a 2,476 kW RNY Hydropower allocation for use at its facility at 7388 Utica Boulevard, Lowville, New York (“Lowville Facility”), which produces Philadelphia-brand cream cheese; and
4. a 1,510 kW RNY Power allocation for use at the Lowville Facility, although this allocation has not yet been placed into service.

Kraft Foods recently merged into Kraft Heinz, and as a result, the facilities listed above are now operated by Kraft Heinz.

In light of the merger, the companies have asked that the 1,210 kW, 2,520 kW and 2,476 kW RNY Hydropower allocations and the 1,510 kW RNY Power allocation (collectively, the “Kraft Food Allocations”) be transferred to Kraft Heinz.

The merger will not result in changes to operations at any of the facilities, and Kraft Heinz has indicated that it will honor all terms and commitments made by Kraft Foods under Kraft Foods’ RNY Power sale agreements with NYPA if the transfers are approved.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends that the Board approve the transfer of the Kraft Food Allocations, subject to the following conditions: (1) approval of the transfer of the Kraft Food Allocations by NYPA; (2) there be no material reductions in the base employment levels or capital investment commitments associated with the Kraft Food Allocations that would be transferred; and (3) the transfers are addressed in contract documents containing such terms and conditions determined by the Authority to be appropriate to effectuate each transfer.

For the reasons stated, I recommend the approval of the above-requested action by adoption of the resolution below.
Chair Nicandri invited Mr. Eric Bowers, Director of Economic Development, to present the **Transfer of Recharge New York Power Allocations to the Board.**

Mr. Bowers said staff is requesting that EDPAB recommend that the Authority’s Trustees to approve the transfer of 4 Recharge New York power program allocations from Kraft Foods Global Inc. to Kraft Heinz Food companies in order to address organizational changes.

Upon motion made by Member Dennis Trainor and seconded by Member Eugene Nicandri, the Transfer of Recharge New York Power Allocations, as recommended by staff, was approved by the Board.

The following resolution was unanimously adopted by members of the Board.

WHEREAS, the New York Power Authority (“NYPA”) awarded Kraft Foods Global, Inc. (“Kraft Foods”) the following four Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power program allocations: (1) a 1,210 kilowatt (“kW”) RNY Hydropower allocation for use at its facility at 261 Delaware Street, Walton, New York; (2) a 2,520 kW RNY Hydropower allocation for use at its facility at 140 Spring Street, Avon, New York; (3) a 2,476 kW RNY Hydropower allocation for use at its facility at 7388 Utica Boulevard, Lowville, New York (“Lowville Facility”); and (4) a 1,510 RNY Power allocation for use at the Lowville Facility (collectively, the “Kraft Food Allocations”); and

WHEREAS, Kraft Foods has merged into Kraft Heinz Foods Company (“Kraft Heinz”), and as a result of such merger Kraft Heinz is now operating the aforementioned facilities at which the Kraft Allocations are used;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, That upon consideration of the aforementioned Memorandum, the transfer of the Kraft Food Allocations from Kraft Foods to Kraft Heinz be, and hereby is, approved subject to the following conditions: (1) approval of the transfer of the Kraft Food Allocations by the Authority; (2) there be no material reduction in the base employment level or capital investment commitments associated with the Kraft Food Allocations due to the transfer;
and (3) the transfer is addressed in contract documents containing such terms and conditions
determined by the Authority to be appropriate to effectuate the transfers.
Other Business

No other business to report.
Chair Nicandri said that the next meeting of the Board would be held on Tuesday, September 24, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. via videoconference.
Closing

Upon motion made by Member Dennis Trainor and seconded by Member Andrew Silver, the meeting was adjourned by Chair Nicandri.