

July 28, 2014

**MEMORANDUM TO THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT POWER
ALLOCATION BOARD**

**FROM THE SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
& ENERGY EFFICIENCY**

SUBJECT: Recharge New York Power Program

SUMMARY

The Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“EDPAB” or “Board”) is requested to:

1. recommend that the New York Power Authority (“Authority”) Trustees (“Trustees”) award allocations of Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power available for “retention” purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A;”
2. recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power available for “expansion” purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B;”
3. determine that the applicants identified in Exhibit “C” are ineligible to receive RNY Power;
4. determine that the applications by the businesses listed in Exhibit “D” are not recommended, or will not be considered, for an allocation of RNY Power; and
5. recommend that the Trustees authorize that the business listed in Exhibit “E” receive a transitional electricity discount.

BACKGROUND

On April 14, 2011, Governor Andrew M. Cuomo signed into law the RNY Power Program as part of Chapter 60 (Part CC) of the Laws of 2011 (“Chapter 60”). The program makes available 910 megawatts (“MW”) of “RNY Power,” 50% of which will be provided by the Authority’s hydropower resources and 50% of which will be procured by the Authority from other sources. RNY Power contracts can be for a term of up to seven years in exchange for job and capital investment commitments.

RNY Power is available to businesses and not-for-profit corporations for job retention and business expansion and attraction purposes. Specifically, Chapter 60 provides that at least 350 MW of RNY Power shall be dedicated to facilities in the service territories served by the New York State Electric and Gas, National Grid and Rochester Gas and Electric utility companies; at least 200 MW of RNY Power shall be dedicated to the purpose of attracting new businesses and encouraging expansion of existing businesses statewide; and up to 100 MW shall be dedicated for eligible not-for-profit corporations and eligible small businesses statewide.

Under the statute, “eligible applicant” is defined to mean an eligible business, eligible small business, or eligible not-for-profit corporation, however, an eligible applicant shall not include retail businesses as defined by EDPAB, including, without limitation, sports venues, gaming or entertainment-related establishments or places of overnight accommodations. At its meeting on April 24, 2012, EDPAB defined a retail business as a business that is primarily used in making retail sales of goods or services to customers who personally visit such facilities to obtain goods or services, consistent with the rules previously promulgated by EDPAB for implementation of the Authority’s Economic Development Power program.

Prior to entering into a contract with an eligible applicant for the sale of RNY Power, and prior to the provision of electric service relating to a RNY Power allocation, the Authority must offer each eligible applicant that has received an award of RNY Power the option to decline to purchase the RNY Market Power component of such award. If the applicant declines to purchase the RNY Market Power component from the Authority, the Authority has no responsibility for supplying RNY Market Power component of the award.

RNY, as the new economic development power program unrelated to the previous Power for Jobs (“PFJ”) and Energy Cost Savings Benefit (“ECSB”) programs, required customers participating in such programs on its sunset date on June 30, 2012, to apply for RNY in order to be considered for a RNY Power allocation. All RNY applications are considered solely on their merits under the criteria established by the RNY legislation.

PFJ and ECSB customers who submitted applications prior to June 30, 2012 and who did not receive a RNY Power allocation were considered for the transitional electricity discount (“TED”). Pursuant to section 188-a of the economic development law, the Authority is authorized, as deemed feasible and advisable by the Trustees, to provide such TED as recommended by EDPAB. The amount of the TED for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2014 shall be equivalent to 66% of the unit (per kilowatt-hour) value of the savings received by the applicant under the PFJ or ECSB during the 12 months ending on December 31, 2010. The amount of the TED for the period July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016 shall be equivalent to 33% of the unit (per kilowatt-hour) value of the savings received by the applicant under the PFJ or ECSB during the 12 months ending on December 31, 2010.

As part of Governor Andrew M. Cuomo’s initiative to foster business activity and streamline economic development, applications for all statewide economic development programs, including the RNY Power Program, have been incorporated into a single on-line Consolidated Funding Application (“CFA”) marking a fundamental shift in how State economic development resources are marketed and allocated. Beginning in September 2011, the CFA was

available to applicants. The CFA continues to serve as an efficient and effective tool to streamline and expedite the State's efforts to generate sustainable economic growth and employment opportunities. All applications that are considered for an RNY Power allocation are submitted through the CFA process.

Applications for RNY Power are subject to a competitive evaluation process and are evaluated based on the following criteria set forth in the statutes providing for the RNY Power Program (the "RNY Statutes"):

- “(i) the significance of the cost of electricity to the applicant's overall cost of doing business, and the impact that a recharge New York power allocation will have on the applicant's operating costs;
- (ii) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation will result in new capital investment in the state by the applicant;
- (iii) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation is consistent with any regional economic development council strategies and priorities;
- (iv) the type and cost of buildings, equipment and facilities to be constructed, enlarged or installed if the applicant were to receive an allocation;
- (v) the applicant's payroll, salaries, benefits and number of jobs at the facility for which a recharge New York power allocation is requested;
- (vi) the number of jobs that will be created or retained within the state in relation to the requested recharge New York power allocation, and the extent to which the applicant will agree to commit to creating or retaining such jobs as a condition to receiving a recharge New York power allocation;
- (vii) whether the applicant, due to the cost of electricity, is at risk of closing or curtailing facilities or operations in the state, relocating facilities or operations out of the state, or losing a significant number of jobs in the state, in the absence of a recharge New York power allocation;
- (viii) the significance of the applicant's facility that would receive the recharge New York power allocation to the economy of the area in which such facility is located;
- (ix) the extent to which the applicant has invested in energy efficiency measures, will agree to participate in or perform energy audits of its facilities, will agree to participate in energy efficiency programs of the authority, or will commit to implement or otherwise make tangible investments in energy efficiency measures as a condition to receiving a recharge New York power allocation;

(x) whether the applicant receives a hydroelectric power allocation or benefits supported by the sale of hydroelectric power under another program administered in whole or in part by the authority;

(xi) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation will result in an advantage for an applicant in relation to the applicant's competitors within the state; and

(xii) in addition to the foregoing criteria, in the case of a not-for-profit corporation, whether the applicant provides critical services or substantial benefits to the local community in which the facility for which the allocation is requested is located.”

Based on the evaluation of these criteria, the applications were scored and ranked. Evaluations also considered scores provided by the relevant Regional Economic Development Council under the third and eighth criteria.

In arriving at recommendations for RNY Power for EDPAB's consideration, staff, among other things, attempted to maximize the economic benefits of low cost NYPA hydropower, the critical state asset at the core of the RNY Power Program, while attempting to ensure that each recipient receives a meaningful RNY Power allocation.

Business applicants with relatively high scores were recommended for allocations of retention RNY Power of 50% of the requested amount or average historic demand, whichever was lower. These allocations were capped at 10 MW for any recommended allocation. Not-for-profit corporation applicants that scored relatively high were recommended for allocations of 33% of the requested amount or average historic demand, whichever was lower. These allocations were capped at 5 MW. Applicants currently receiving hydropower allocations under other Authority power programs were recommended for allocations of RNY Power of 25% of the requested amount, subject to the caps as stated above.

RNY Power allocations have been awarded by EDPAB and the Trustees on eight prior occasions spanning from April 2012 through March 2014. There is currently 63 MW of unallocated RNY Power of the 710 MW available for business “retention” purposes. Of that 710 MW retention block, 100 MW was set aside for not-for-profit corporations and small businesses, of which 7.7 MW is available to allocate to such entities. Lastly, there is 121.3 MW of unallocated RNY Power of the 200 MW available for business “expansion” purposes. These figures include allocations that were awarded, modified, declined, and withdrawn prior to today's recommendations.

DISCUSSION

1. Retention-Based RNY Power Allocations

Staff recommends that EDPAB recommend to the NYPA Trustees that the applications listed on Exhibit “A” be awarded *retention*-based RNY Power allocations in the amounts indicated. Each business has stated a willingness to create or retain jobs in New York State.

Additionally, these applicants will be committing to capital investments in exchange for the recommended RNY Power allocations.

The RNY Power “retention” allocations identified in Exhibit “A” are each recommended for a term of seven years. An allocation recommended by EDPAB qualifies the subject applicant to enter into a contract with the Authority for the purchase of the RNY Power if the Authority makes an allocation award. The Authority’s standard RNY Power contract template, approved by the Trustees at their March 27, 2012 meeting, contains provisions addressing such things as effective periodic audits of the recipient of an allocation for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an allocation if the recipient fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, relating to among other things, employment levels, power utilization, and capital investments. In addition, there is a requirement that a recipient of an allocation perform an energy efficiency audit at its facility not less than once during the first five years of the term of the allocation.

As noted in Exhibit “A”, some of these applicants are also being recommended for expansion-based allocations, having satisfied the criteria for both components of the RNY Power Program.

2. Expansion-Based RNY Power Allocations

Staff recommends that EDPAB recommend to the NYPA Trustees that the applications listed on Exhibit “B” be awarded *expansion*-based RNY Power allocations in the amounts indicated from the 200 MW block of RNY Power dedicated by statute for the businesses that propose to expand existing businesses or create new business in the State. These applications sought a RNY Power allocation for either (i) expansion only, in the case of a new business or facility, (ii) expansion only, in the case of an applicant that already received a retention-based RNY Power allocation under a previous application, or (iii) expansion *and* retention, in the case of an existing business. Each such allocation would be for a term of seven years.

As with the evaluation process used for the retention recommendations described above, applications for the expansion-based RNY Power were scored based on the statutory criteria, albeit with a focus on information regarding each applicants’ specific project to expand or create their new facility or business (*e.g.*, the expansion project’s cost, associated job creation, and new electric load due to the expansion).

The respective amounts of the expansion-related allocations listed in Exhibit “B” are largely intended to provide approximately 70% of the individual expansion projects’ estimated new electric load. Because these projects have estimated new electric load amounts, and to ensure that an applicant’s overestimation of the amount needed would not cause that applicant to receive a higher proportion of RNY Power to new load, the allocations in Exhibit “B” are recommended on an “up to” amount basis. Each of these applicants would be required to, among other commitments, add the new electric load as stated in its application, and would be allowed to use up to the amount of their RNY Power allocation in the same proportion of the RNY Power allocation to requested load as stated in Exhibit “B.” The contracts for these

allocations would also contain the standard provisions previously summarized in the last paragraph of Section 1 above.

3. Ineligibility Determinations

In the process of reviewing the current round of applications for RNY Power, staff has identified 5 applications that seek RNY Power for businesses that constitute a retail business as defined by EDPAB. In another instance, the applicant is a government entity. These applications are listed on Exhibit "C". Staff recommends that the Board determine that these applications are not eligible to receive RNY Power.

4. Applications Not Recommended or Not Considered

As indicated on Exhibit "D", staff recommends that the Board not consider eight pending applications for RNY Power allocations. The applications should not be considered at this time for one or more of the following reasons: (i) the application was withdrawn; (ii) the applicant does not have a demand meter; and/or (iii) the applicant was not responsive to outreach, leaving the application currently incomplete.

5. Transitional Electric Discount

As noted above, PFJ and ECSB benefit recipients who applied for RNY Power prior to those programs' sunset date, June 30, 2012, but who were not awarded an allocation may be considered for a TED under Chapter 60. At its June 26, 2012 meeting, EDPAB recommended and the Trustees approved TEDs for 95 applicants. At its September 24, 2012 meeting, EDPAB recommended and the Trustees approved TEDs for 5 additional applicants.

Staff has now identified one additional applicant, listed in Exhibit "E" to be reconsidered for a TED. Originally, this company had one allocation of PFJ distributed to several of its facilities in New York City. The company applied for RNY prior to June 30, 2012 with individual applications for its multiple facilities. The RNY application for which the TED is now being recommended was initially not recommended for an RNY Power allocation but also inappropriately determined to not be eligible for TED. Upon the applicant's request, staff has reviewed and confirmed that the facility identified in the RNY application was receiving PFJ benefits from the original PFJ allocation, in the form of a PFJ electricity savings reimbursement.

Accordingly, staff confirmed that this PFJ benefit recipient applied for RNY Power prior to the programs' sunset date, and was not awarded a RNY Power allocation and therefore should be considered for a TED. Staff requests that EDPAB recommend that the Trustees authorize a TED for the applicant listed in Exhibit "E".

RECOMMENDATION

For the reasons stated above, staff recommends that EDPAB: (1) recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for retention purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” in the amounts indicated therein; (2) recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for expansion purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” in the amounts indicated therein; (3) determine that the businesses identified in Exhibit “C” are ineligible to receive a RNY Power allocation; (4) determine that the applications by the businesses listed in Exhibit “D” will not be considered at this time for an allocation of RNY Power; and (5) authorize the business listed in Exhibit “E” to receive a transitional electricity discount.

For the reasons stated, I recommend the approval of the above requested actions by adoption of the resolution below.

James F. Pasquale
Senior Vice President
Economic Development & Energy Efficiency

RESOLUTION

RESOLVED, That the Economic Development Power Allocation Board hereby: (1) recommends that Power Authority of the State of New York (“Authority”) award allocations of Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power for retention purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” in the amounts indicated therein; (2) recommends that the Authority award allocations of RNY Power for expansion purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” in the amounts indicated therein; (3) determines that five applicants identified in Exhibit “C” seek RNY Power for a retail business, and the remaining applicant identified in Exhibit “C” is a government entity, and therefore these applicants are not eligible to receive RNY Power, as discussed in the attached memorandum of the Senior Vice President – Economic Development & Energy Efficiency (the “Attached Memorandum”) and Exhibit “C”; (4) determines that the applications by the applicants listed in Exhibit “D” will not be considered for an allocation of RNY Power at this time for the reasons discussed in the Attached Memorandum and Exhibit “D”; and (5) recommends that the Authority authorize the business listed in Exhibit “E” to receive a transitional electricity discount.

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation	Jobs Retained	Jobs Created	Total Job Commitment	Capital Investment (\$)	Contract Term (years)
1	Holland USA Inc a subsidiary of Taylor Corporation dba Web Graphics	Queensbury	Warren	Capital District	NGRID	Provides printed products and services	381	186	100	0	100	\$0	7
2	Morcon, Inc.	Cambridge	Washington	Capital District	NGRID	Produces bath tissue, towels & napkin products	272	136	81	0	81	\$25,000 ⁽¹⁾	7
	Capital District Region Sub-totals:						653	322	181	0	181	\$25,000	
3	G. A. Braun, Inc.	North Syracuse	Onondaga	Central New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of laundry and textile machinery	450	220	155	0	155	\$4,000,000 ⁽¹⁾	7
4	General Super Plating	East Syracuse	Onondaga	Central New York	NGRID	High quality plating for plastics	513	256	90	0	90	\$500,000	7
5	NYSERNet.org, Inc.	Syracuse	Onondaga	Central New York	NGRID	Provides advanced technology for educational institutions	250	56	13	0	13	\$1,200,000	7
	Central New York Region Sub-totals:						1,213	532	258	0	258	\$5,700,000	
6	Hammer Packaging Corp	W. Henrietta	Monroe	Finger Lakes	RGE	Produces printed packaging labels for various industries	2,129	1,060	183	12	195	\$20,000,000	7
7	OLEDWorks LLC	Rochester	Monroe	Finger Lakes	RGE	Manufacturer of lighting panels	190	96	25	0	25	\$3,000,000 ⁽²⁾	7
8	United States Gypsum Company	Oakfield	Genesee	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Paper Mill, Building Materials Business	3,729	1,860	98	0	98	\$2,500,000 ⁽¹⁾	7
	Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:						6,048	3,016	306	12	318	\$25,500,000	
9	Contract Pharmacal Corporation	Hauppauge	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of contract pharmaceuticals	88	30	11	0	11	\$0	7
10	Contract Pharmacal Corporation	Hauppauge	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of contract pharmaceuticals	823	386	270	25	295	\$3,000,000	7
11	Contract Pharmacal Corporation	Hauppauge	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of contract pharmaceuticals	350	156	125	8	133	\$1,000,000	7
12	EB Industries LLC	Farmingdale	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Provides laser and electron beam welding services	96	46	21	0	21	\$900,000	7
13	Haig Press, Inc.	Hauppauge	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Commercial sheetfed and web printing facility	441	220	45	0	45	\$100,000	7
14	Highstreet IT Solutions,LLC	Islandia	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Provider of cloud services and IT infrastructure management	416	170	80	0	80	\$1,000,000 ⁽¹⁾	7
15	Nassau Health Care Corporation - A. Holly Patterson	Uniondale	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Extended care facility	747	246	505	0	505	\$91,515,823	7
16	Rising Sun Woodworking, Inc	Mattituck	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Produces custom architectural cabinetry and millwork	50	20	18	0	18	\$500,000	7
	Long Island Region Sub-totals:						3,011	1,274	1,075	33	1,108	\$98,015,823	

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation	Jobs Retained	Jobs Created	Total Job Commitment	Capital Investment (\$)	Contract Term (years)
17	Xylem, Inc.	Rye Brook	Westchester	Mid-Hudson	CONED	Water Technology Provider	239	116	106	15	121	\$11,600,000	7
	Mid-Hudson Region Sub-totals:						239	116	106	15	121	\$11,600,000	
18	Amsterdam Printing and Litho, Inc.	Amsterdam	Montgomery	Mohawk Valley	NGRID	Promotional, apparel and form production	495	246	350	0	350	\$5,000,000	7
	Mohawk Valley Region Sub-totals:						495	246	350	0	350	\$5,000,000	
19	New York Law School	New York	New York	New York City	CONED	Law School	1,296	430	210	0	210	\$500,000	7
	New York City Region Sub-totals:						1,296	430	210	0	210	\$500,000	
20	Potsdam Specialty Paper, Inc.	Potsdam	St. Lawrence	North Country	NGRID	Paper manufacturing	4,237	2,116	67	0	67	\$14,000,000	7
	North Country Region Sub-totals:						4,237	2,116	67	0	67	\$14,000,000	
21	Bear Metal Works, Inc. - 144 Milton Street	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Provides custom sheet metal fabrication services	75	26	17	0	17	\$500,000	7
22	Confer Plastics, Inc.	North Tonawanda	Niagara	Western New York	NGRID	Produces pool ladders, steps, lawn, & patio furniture	1,800	420	150	0	150	\$200,000	7
23	Durez Corporation	Niagara Falls	Niagara	Western New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of phenolic resin	1,152	286	56	0	56	\$10,000,000	7
24	Electro Abrasives, LLC	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Manufactures silicon and boron carbide grains	700	336	15	0	15	\$500,000	7
	Western New York Region Sub-totals:						3,727	1,068	238	0	238	\$11,200,000	

Totals

9,120	2,791	60	2,851	\$171,540,823
--------------	--------------	-----------	--------------	----------------------

⁽¹⁾ These companies are also recommended for expansion-related allocations of RNY for separate and distinct job creation and capital investment commitments associated with proposed business expansions.

⁽²⁾ Allocation is recommended to be up to the amount indicated based on the companies' fulfillment of new electric load consistent with the ratio of recommended to requested amount.

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation ⁽¹⁾	Base Employment ⁽³⁾	Job Creation Commitment	Project Capital Investment (\$)	Contract Term (years)
1	Morcon, Inc.	Cambridge	Washington	Capital District	NGRID	Produces bath tissue, towels & napkin products	500	350	81	50	\$6,100,000 ⁽²⁾	7
	Capital District Region Sub-totals:							350	81	50	\$6,100,000	
2	G. A. Braun, Inc.	North Syracuse	Onondaga	Central New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of laundry and textile machinery	150	106	155	20	\$6,879,000 ⁽²⁾	7
3	HP Hood LLC	Oneida	Madison	Central New York	NGRID	Dairy food production facility	400	280	198	25	\$36,000,000	7
4	Tessy Plastics Corp.	Skaneateles Falls	Onondaga	Central New York	NGRID	Manufactures medical and electronics products	300	210	0	100	\$8,036,000	7
	Central New York Region Sub-totals:							596	353	145	\$50,915,000	
5	United States Gypsum Company	Oakfield	Genesee	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Paper Mill, Building Materials Business	2,800	1,200	98	12	\$23,697,000 ⁽²⁾	7
6	Wayne County Eggs, LLC	Wolcott	Wayne	Finger Lakes	RGE	Egg production	555	386	78	14	\$14,000,000	7
	Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:							1,586	176	26	\$37,697,000	
7	Highstreet IT Solutions, LLC	Islandia	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Provider of cloud services and IT infrastructure management	40	26	80	8	\$1,700,000 ⁽²⁾	7
	Long Island Region Sub-totals:							26	80	8	\$1,700,000	
8	Riverside Iron, LLC	Gouverneur	St. Lawrence	North Country	NGRID	Produces miscellaneous metals and structural steel	68	46	0	12	\$350,000	7
	North Country Region Sub-totals:							46	0	12	\$350,000	
9	Bear Metal Works, Inc. -39 Scoville Street	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Provides custom sheet metal fabrication services	100	70	0	15	\$2,000,000	7
	Western New York Region Sub-totals:							70	0	15	\$2,000,000	
Totals								2,674		256	\$98,762,000	

(1) All Allocations are recommended to be up to the amount indicated based on the companies' fulfillment of capital spending, job creation, and new electric load consistent with the ratio of recommended to requested amount.
 (2) These companies are also recommended for retention-related allocations of RNY for separate and distinct job retention and capital investment commitments associated with retaining their existing businesses.
 (3) New jobs committed must be above the base employment level; the base employment values should *not* be included when aggregating retained jobs because they are already accounted for elsewhere

**Economic Development Power Allocation Board
 ReCharge New York Power Program
 Ineligible Applicants**

**Exhibit "C"
 July 28, 2014**

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	Classification
1	Kateri's	Amsterdam	Montgomery	Capital District	TBD	Packaging and retailing herbal supplements and products	Retail
2	Long Island Aquarium & Exhibition Center	Riverhead	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Aquarium	Retail
3	Maxbilt Theatre	Fleischmanns	Delaware	Southern Tier	NYSEG	Theatre	Retail
4	NYS Office of Children & Family Services (Industry Residential Center)	Rush	Monroe	Finger Lakes	NGRID	State owned youth correctional facility	Not eligible
5	Safe Harbors of the Hudson, Inc.	Newburgh	Orange	Mid-Hudson	CHUD	Commercial retail development project	Retail

**Economic Development Power Allocation Board
 ReCharge New York Power Program
 Applications Not Considered**

**Exhibit "D"
 July 28, 2014**

Applications Not Considered

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	Reason
1	2 Twisted Farmers	Pulaski	Oswego	North Country	NGRID	Farm (hops)	No Demand Meter
2	26 Cooper Ave., LLC	Tonawanda	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Shop Painting	No Demand Meter
3	Bajan Yankee Pictures Inc.	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Movie production company	Withdrew
4	Brooklyn Friends School	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Private school for grades K-12	No Demand Meter
5	Contract Pharmacal Corporation	Hauppauge	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of contract pharmaceuticals	Withdrew
6	Ferguson Enterprises, Inc.	Coxsackie	Greene	Capital District	CHUD	Wholesale distribution warehouse	Unresponsive
7	RevCrest, Inc.	Warsaw	Wyoming	Finger Lakes	NYSEG	Debt collection business	Unresponsive
8	Sons of Italy, Constantino Brumidi Lodge #2211	Deer Park	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Sons of Italy Lodge	Unresponsive

**Economic Development Power Allocation Board
ReCharge New York Power Program
Transitional Electricity Discount**

**Exhibit "E"
July 28, 2014**

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description
1	Pepsi Cola Bottling Co. of NY Inc.	College Point	Queens	New York City	CONED	Bottling firm