

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT POWER ALLOCATION BOARD

MINUTES

*March 28, 2016 – 10:00 a.m.
Via Videoconference from
Clarence D. Rappleyea Building, White Plains, New York*

*New York Power Authority Offices:
123 Main Street, 16th Floor, White Plains, NY
535 Washington Street, Suite 202, Buffalo, NY*

1. Approval of the March 28, 2016 Proposed Meeting Agenda
2. Approval of the Minutes of the Meeting of December 14, 2015
3. Recharge New York Power Program Allocations
4. Transfer of Recharge New York Power Allocations - **TABLED**

OTHER BUSINESS

5. Next Meeting

A regular meeting of the Economic Development Power Allocation Board was held via videoconference at the following participating locations:

- 1) New York Power Authority, 123 Main Street, White Plains, NY
- 2) New York Power Authority, 535 Washington Street, Suite 202, Buffalo, NY

The following Members of the Board were present:

Sam Hoyt, Chairman
Eugene L. Nicandri, Member (*via audio only*)
Robert B. Catell, Member
George Maziarz, Member

Also in attendance were:

Justin Driscoll	Executive Vice President & General Counsel, NYPA
Karen Delince	Vice President & Corporate Secretary, NYPA
Keith Hayes	Vice President Marketing, Business Marketing & Economic Dev. & Muni & Coop, NYPA
Maribel Cruz	Manager – Business Power Allocations & Compliance, NYPA
Yale Brown	Business Power Allocations & Compliance, Analyst II, NYPA
Tabitha Robinson	Manager Program Operations, Business/Muni/Coop
Lorna Johnson	Senior Associate Corporate Secretary, NYPA
Sheila Baughman	Senior Assistant Corporate Secretary, NYPA

Introduction

Chairman Hoyt welcomed the Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“EDPAB”) members, Eugene Nicandri, Robert Catell and Senator George Maziarz (retired), and Authority senior staff members to the meeting. He said that the meeting had been duly noticed as required by the Open Meetings Law and called the meeting to order pursuant to the EDPAB Bylaws, Article III, Section 2.

1. Approval of the Proposed Meeting Agenda

Upon motion made by Member Robert Catell and seconded by Member George Maziarz, the Agenda for the March 28, 2016 meeting was approved.

The members indicated that they have no conflicts of interest based on the list of entities being considered for power allocations at the meeting.

2. Approval of the Minutes

Upon motion made by Member George Maziarz and seconded by Member Robert Catell, the Minutes of the Meeting held on December 14, 2015 were approved.

3. **Recharge New York Power Program**

SUMMARY

The Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“EDPAB” or “Board”) is requested to:

1. recommend that the New York Power Authority (“Authority”) Trustees (“Trustees”) award allocations of Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power available for “retention” purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A”;
2. recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power available for “expansion” purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B”;
3. recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power available for eligible small businesses and not-for-profit corporations to the companies listed in Exhibit “C”;
4. determine that the applicants listed in Exhibit “D” are not eligible for RNY Power;
5. determine that the applicants listed in “Exhibit E” will not be recommended for an allocation of RNY Power; and
6. terminate the application review process for the applicants listed in “Exhibit F.”

BACKGROUND

On April 14, 2011, Governor Andrew M. Cuomo signed into law the RNY Power Program as part of Chapter 60 (Part CC) of the Laws of 2011 (“Chapter 60”). The program makes available 910 megawatts (“MW”) of “RNY Power,” 50% of which will be provided by the Authority’s hydropower resources and 50% of which will be procured by the Authority from other sources. RNY Power contracts can be for a term of up to seven years in exchange for job and capital investment commitments.

RNY Power is available to businesses and not-for-profit corporations for job retention and business expansion and attraction purposes. Specifically, Chapter 60 provides that at least 350 MW of RNY Power shall be dedicated to facilities in the service territories served by the New York State Electric and Gas, National Grid and Rochester Gas and Electric utility companies; at least 200 MW of RNY Power shall be dedicated to the purpose of attracting new businesses and encouraging expansion of existing businesses statewide; and up to 100 MW shall be dedicated for eligible not-for-profit corporations and eligible small businesses statewide.

Under the statute, “eligible applicant” is defined to mean an eligible business, eligible small business, or eligible not-for-profit corporation, however, an eligible applicant shall not include retail businesses as defined by EDPAB, including, without limitation, sports venues, gaming or entertainment-related establishments or places of overnight accommodations. At its meeting on April 24, 2012, EDPAB defined a retail business as a business that is primarily used in making retail sales of goods or services to customers who personally visit such facilities to obtain goods or services, consistent with the rules previously promulgated by EDPAB for implementation of the Authority’s Economic Development Power program.

Prior to entering into a contract with an eligible applicant for the sale of RNY Power, and prior to the provision of electric service relating to a RNY Power allocation, the Authority must offer each eligible applicant that has received an award of RNY Power the option to decline to purchase the RNY Market Power component of such award. If the applicant declines to purchase the RNY Market Power component from the Authority, the Authority has no responsibility for supplying RNY Market Power component of the award.

As part of Governor Andrew M. Cuomo's initiative to foster business activity and streamline economic development, applications for all statewide economic development programs, including the RNY Power Program, have been incorporated into a single on-line Consolidated Funding Application ("CFA") marking a fundamental shift in how State economic development resources are marketed and allocated. Beginning in September 2011, the CFA was available to applicants. The CFA continues to serve as an efficient and effective tool to streamline and expedite the State's efforts to generate sustainable economic growth and employment opportunities. All applications that are considered for an RNY Power allocation are submitted through the CFA process.

Applications for RNY Power are subject to a competitive evaluation process and are evaluated based on the following criteria set forth in the statutes providing for the RNY Power Program (the "RNY Statutes"):

- (i) the significance of the cost of electricity to the applicant's overall cost of doing business, and the impact that a recharge New York power allocation will have on the applicant's operating costs;
- (ii) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation will result in new capital investment in the state by the applicant;
- (iii) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation is consistent with any regional economic development council strategies and priorities;
- (iv) the type and cost of buildings, equipment and facilities to be constructed, enlarged or installed if the applicant were to receive an allocation;
- (v) the applicant's payroll, salaries, benefits and number of jobs at the facility for which a recharge New York power allocation is requested;
- (vi) the number of jobs that will be created or retained within the state in relation to the requested recharge New York power allocation, and the extent to which the applicant will agree to commit to creating or retaining such jobs as a condition to receiving a recharge New York power allocation;
- (vii) whether the applicant, due to the cost of electricity, is at risk of closing or curtailing facilities or operations in the state, relocating facilities or operations out of the state, or losing a significant number of jobs in the state, in the absence of a recharge New York power allocation;
- (viii) the significance of the applicant's facility that would receive the recharge New York power allocation to the economy of the area in which such facility is located;
- (ix) the extent to which the applicant has invested in energy efficiency measures, will agree to participate in or perform energy audits of its facilities, will agree to participate in energy efficiency programs of the authority, or will commit to implement or otherwise make tangible investments in energy efficiency measures as a condition to receiving a recharge New York power allocation;
- (x) whether the applicant receives a hydroelectric power allocation or benefits supported by the sale of hydroelectric power under another program administered in whole or in part by the authority;
- (xi) the extent to which a recharge New York power allocation will result in an advantage for an applicant in relation to the applicant's competitors within the state; and
- (xii) in addition to the foregoing criteria, in the case of a not-for-profit corporation, whether the applicant provides critical services or substantial benefits to the local community in which the facility for which the allocation is requested is located."

Based on the evaluation of these criteria, the applications were scored and ranked. Evaluations also considered scores provided by the relevant Regional Economic Development Council under the third and eighth criteria.

In arriving at recommendations for RNY Power for EDPAB's consideration, staff, among other things, attempted to maximize the economic benefits of low cost NYPA hydropower, the critical state asset at the core of the RNY Power Program, while attempting to ensure that each recipient receives a meaningful RNY Power allocation.

Business applicants with relatively high scores were recommended for allocations of retention RNY Power of 50% of the requested amount or average historic demand, whichever was lower. These allocations were capped at 10 MW for any recommended allocation. Not-for-profit corporation applicants that scored relatively high were recommended for allocations of 33% of the requested amount or average historic demand, whichever was lower. These allocations were capped at 5 MW. Applicants currently receiving hydropower allocations under other Authority power programs were recommended for allocations of RNY Power of 25% of the requested amount, subject to the caps as stated above.

RNY Power allocations have been awarded by the Trustees on thirteen prior occasions spanning from April 2012 through December 2015. Of the 200 MW block of RNY Power made available pursuant to Chapter 60 for business "expansion" purposes, 100.3 MW remain unallocated. Of the 100 MW of RNY Power that was set aside for not-for-profit corporations and small businesses pursuant to Chapter 60, 3.4 MW remain unallocated. Of the remaining RNY Power made available pursuant to Chapter 60, 24.9 MW remain unallocated.

DISCUSSION

1. Retention-Based RNY Power Allocations

Staff recommends that EDPAB recommend to the NYPA Trustees that the applications listed on Exhibit "A" be awarded *retention*-based RNY Power allocations in the amounts indicated. Each business has stated a willingness to create or retain jobs in New York State. Additionally, these applicants will be committing to capital investments in exchange for the recommended RNY Power allocations.

The RNY Power "retention" allocations identified in Exhibit "A" are each recommended for a term of seven years unless otherwise indicated. An allocation recommended by EDPAB qualifies the subject applicant to enter into a contract with the Authority for the purchase of the RNY Power if the Authority makes an allocation award. The Authority's standard RNY Power contract template, approved by the Trustees at their March 27, 2012 meeting, contains provisions addressing such things as effective periodic audits of the recipient of an allocation for the purpose of determining contract and program compliance, and for the partial or complete withdrawal of an allocation if the recipient fails to maintain mutually agreed upon commitments, relating to among other things, employment levels, power utilization, and capital investments. In addition, there is a requirement that a recipient of an allocation perform an energy efficiency audit at its facility not less than once during the first five years of the term of the allocation.

As noted in Exhibit "A", some of these applicants are also being recommended for an expansion-based allocation, having satisfied the criteria for both components of the RNY Power Program.

2. Expansion-Based RNY Power Allocations

Staff recommends that EDPAB recommend to the NYPA Trustees that the applications listed on Exhibit "B" be awarded *expansion*-based RNY Power allocations in the amounts indicated from the 200 MW block of RNY Power dedicated pursuant to statute for the businesses that propose to expand existing businesses or create new business in the State. These applications sought a RNY Power allocation for either (i) expansion only, in the case of a new business or facility, (ii) expansion only, in the case of an applicant that already received a retention-based RNY Power allocation under a previous application, or

(iii) expansion *and* retention, in the case of an existing business. Each such allocation would be for a term of seven years unless otherwise indicated.

As with the evaluation process used for the retention recommendations described above, applications for the expansion-based RNY Power were scored based on the statutory criteria, albeit with a focus on information regarding each applicants' specific project to expand or create their new facility or business (e.g., the expansion project's cost, associated job creation, and new electric load due to the expansion).

The respective amounts of the expansion-related allocations listed in Exhibit "B" are largely intended to provide approximately 70% of the individual expansion projects' estimated new electric load. Because these projects have estimated new electric load amounts, and to ensure that an applicant's overestimation of the amount needed would not cause that applicant to receive a higher proportion of RNY Power to new load, the allocations in Exhibit "B" are recommended on an "up to" amount basis. Each of these applicants would be required to, among other commitments, add the new electric load as stated in its application, and would be allowed to use up to the amount of their RNY Power allocation in the same proportion of the RNY Power allocation to requested load as stated in Exhibit "B." The contracts for these allocations would also contain the standard provisions previously summarized in the last paragraph of Section 1 above.

3. Small Business and Not-for-Profit-Based RNY Power Allocations

Staff recommends that EDPAB recommend to the NYPA Trustees that the small business and not-for-profit applicants listed on Exhibit "C" be awarded small business and not-for-profit based RNY Power allocations in the amounts indicated therein. The applicants have committed to retain jobs in New York State and make capital investments in exchange for the recommended RNY Power allocations as described in Exhibit "C".

4. Ineligibility Determinations

Based on its review of the applications of the applications listed in Exhibit "D", staff recommends that the Board determine that the applicants listed on Exhibit "D" are not eligible to receive RNY Power for the reasons described in Exhibit "D".

Staff also recommends that with respect to the first three applicants listed on Exhibit "D", the Board in addition and/or in the alternative not recommend these applicants for RNY Power for the reasons set for in Exhibit "E".

5. Applications Not Recommended

Based on its review of the applications of the companies listed in Exhibit "E", staff recommends that the Board not recommend the applications listed on Exhibit "E" for RNY Power for the reasons listed on Exhibit "E".

6. Termination of Application Review Process

Staff recommends that the Board terminate the application review process for the applicants for RNY Power listed on Exhibit "F" on the ground that in each case the applicant has not been responsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application and rendering the RNY Power application incomplete.

RECOMMENDATION

For the reasons stated above, staff recommends that EDPAB: (1) recommend that the Authority Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for retention purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit "A" in the amounts indicated therein; (2) recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for

expansion purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit "B" in the amounts indicated therein; (3) recommend that the Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for small business and not-for-profit purposes to the small business and not-for-profit applicants listed in Exhibit "C" in the amounts indicated therein; (4) determine that the applicants identified in Exhibit "D" are ineligible to receive RNY Power allocations for the reasons discussed in Exhibit "D"; (5) determine that the applicants listed in Exhibit "E" will not be recommended for allocations of RNY Power for the reasons discussed in Exhibit "E"; and (6) determine that application review process for the applicants listed in "Exhibit F" will be terminated for the reasons discussed in "Exhibit F".

RESOLUTION

RESOLVED, That the Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“Board”) recommends that the Board of Trustees (“Trustees”) of the Power Authority of the State of New York (“Authority”) award allocations of Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power for retention purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” to the foregoing report of the Senior Vice President – Economic Development & Energy Efficiency (the “foregoing report”) in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “A” and the foregoing report; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board recommends that the Authority Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for expansion purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” to the foregoing report in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “B” and the foregoing report; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board recommends that the Authority Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for small business and not-for-profit purposes to the small business and not-for-profit corporation applicants listed in Exhibit “C” to the foregoing report in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “C” and the foregoing report; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board determines that the five applicants listed in Exhibit “D” are not eligible to receive RNY Power for the reasons discussed in the foregoing report and Exhibit “D”, and further determines that the first three applicants listed on Exhibit “D” in any event are not recommended for RNY Power for the reasons discussed in Exhibit “E” as to such applicants; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the applicants listed in Exhibit “E” are not recommended for RNY Power for the reasons discussed in the foregoing report and Exhibit “E”; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the application review process for the applicants listed in Exhibit “F” is terminated for the reasons discussed in the foregoing report and Exhibit “F”.

The following resolution was unanimously adopted by members of the Board present.

RESOLVED, That the Economic Development Power Allocation Board (“Board”) recommends that the Board of Trustees (“Trustees”) of the Power Authority of the State of New York (“Authority”) award allocations of Recharge New York (“RNY”) Power for retention purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “A” to the foregoing report of the Senior Vice President – Economic Development & Energy Efficiency (the “foregoing report”) in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “A” and the foregoing report; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board recommends that the Authority Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for expansion purposes to the businesses listed in Exhibit “B” to the foregoing report in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “B” and the foregoing report; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board recommends that the Authority Trustees award allocations of RNY Power for small business and not-for-profit purposes to the small business and not-for-profit corporation applicants listed in Exhibit “C” to the foregoing report in the amounts indicated therein for the reasons indicated in Exhibit “C” and the foregoing report; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board determines that the five applicants listed in Exhibit “D” are not eligible to receive RNY Power for the reasons discussed in the foregoing report and Exhibit “D”, and further determines that the first three applicants listed on Exhibit “D” in any event are not recommended for RNY Power for the reasons discussed in Exhibit “E” as to such applicants; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the applicants listed in Exhibit “E” are not recommended for RNY Power for the reasons discussed in the foregoing report and Exhibit “E”; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the application review process for the applicants listed in Exhibit “F” is terminated for the reasons discussed in the foregoing report and Exhibit “F”.

Chairman Hoyt invited Mr. Keith Hayes, Vice President of Marketing, to present the Recharge New York Power (“RNY”) Program item.

Mr. Hayes provided highlights of staff’s recommendation to the Board. He said staff is requesting that EDPAB recommend that the Authority’s Trustees approve the award of 37 allocations of RNY power to 30 large business retention, large business expansion and small business and not-for-profit business applicants; determine that five applicants are not eligible to receive a RNY power allocation; not recommend four applicants for a RNY power allocation for various reasons and terminate the application review process for four applicants for RNY power.

In response to a question from Mr. Catell, Mr. Hayes said there is no formula in arriving at the percentage allocations to the applicants. This was discussed and decided on prior to launching the Program, with input from the EDPAB. The goal was to provide as many allocations to as many businesses in the state as possible while, at the same time, providing a meaningful benefit. Ms. Cruz added that the 25% allocation is a standard allocation as part of the RNY program procedures for those customers that have an existing hydropower allocation.

Upon motion made by Member George Maziarz and seconded by Member Robert Catell, staff’s recommendation was unanimously approved by the Board.

The following resolution was unanimously adopted by members of the Board present.

...

Economic Development Power Allocation Board
 Recommendations - RNY Power Allocations for Retention Purposes

Exhibit "A"
 March 28, 2016

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation	Jobs Retained	Jobs Created	Total Job Commitment	Capital Investment (\$)	Contract Term (years)
1	PQ Recycling, LLC	East Farmingdale	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Recycling of plastic containers	477	236	30	0	30	\$1,500,000	7
	Long Island Region Sub-totals:							236	30	0	30	\$1,500,000	
2	STAVO Industries, Inc.	Kingston	Ulster	Mid-Hudson	CHUD	Manufacturer of filtration equipment	513	256	55	0	55	\$250,000	7
	Mid-Hudson Region Sub-totals:							256	55	0	55	\$250,000	
3	Flexovit USA, Inc.	Angola	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of industrial abrasive products	1,597	796	45	50	95	\$6,835,120	7
4	Gintzler Graphics, Inc.	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of packaging components	623	310	55	0	55	\$2,000,000 ⁽¹⁾	7
5	HTI Recycling, LLC	Lockport	Niagara	Western New York	NYSEG	Tire recycling operations	1,747	870	70	0	70	\$2,000,000	7
	Western New York Region Sub-totals:							1,976	170	50	220	\$10,835,120	

Totals

2,468	255	50	305	\$12,585,120
--------------	------------	-----------	------------	---------------------

⁽¹⁾ These companies are also recommended for expansion-related allocations of RNY for separate and distinct job creation and capital investment commitments associated with proposed business expansions.

Economic Development Power Allocation Board
 Recommendations - RNY Power Allocations for Expansion Purposes

Exhibit "B"
 March 28, 2016

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation (1)	Base Employment (3)	Job Creation Commitment	Project Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
1	John Hassall, Inc.	Westbury	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Manufactures fasteners	200	140	94	30	\$300,000	(4)	7
	Long Island Region Sub-totals:						200	140	94	30	\$300,000		
2	Gintzler Graphics, Inc.	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Manufacturer of packaging components	100	70	55	5	\$1,000,000	(2)	7
	Western New York Region Sub-totals:						100	70	55	5	\$1,000,000		

Totals

210	0	35	\$1,300,000
-----	---	----	-------------

- (1) All expansion-based RNY Power allocations are recommended to be "up to" the amount indicated pending the applicant's compliance with contractual commitments, including commitments relating to job creation, capital investment spending and power utilization.
- (2) These companies are also being recommended for retention-based RNY Power allocations associated with separate and distinct contractual commitments relating to such matters as job retention, capital investment spending and power utilization associated with an existing business.
- (3) The number of new jobs committed will be above a base employment level specified in the power sale contract with the applicant.
- (4) This applicant was previously approved for a retention-based RNY Power allocation. The base employment refers to this applicant's retained jobs which are already associated with an existing power allocation.

Economic Development Power Allocation Board
 Recommendations - RNY Power Allocations for Expansion and Retention Purposes (Small Business and NFP Corporations)

Retention-Based Allocations

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation	Jobs Retained	Jobs Created	Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
1	John, Mark, & Maureen J. Torrey Partnership	Lyndonville	Orleans	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Dairy farm	315	156	22	0	\$2,000,000	(1), (5)	7
2	Nicholas H. Noyes Memorial Hospital, Inc.	Geneseo	Livingston	Finger Lakes	RGE	Hospital	156	50	25	0	\$350,000	(5)	7
3	Traco Manufacturing, Inc.	Batavia	Genesee	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Manufacturer of packaging equipment	173	86	10	0	\$100,000	(1), (5)	7
	Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:							292	57	0	\$2,450,000	(5)	
4	Advanced Material & Manufacturing Technology Innovation Center, Research Foundation Corp.	Plainview	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Serves manufacturers building prototypes	209	66	1	12	\$1,500,000	(5)	7
5	Aljo-Gefa Precision Manufacturing, LLC	Old Bethpage	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of aerospace parts	175	86	30	0	\$500,000	(5)	7
6	Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc.	Medford	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Develops tests for disease detection	262	110	138	20	\$200,000	(5)	7
7	Hicksville Machine Works Corp.	Hicksville	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of aerospace parts	95	46	18	2	\$100,000	(5)	7
8	Local T.V., Inc.	Wainscott	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Public access television station	42	10	7	0	\$125,000	(5)	7
9	MPI Consulting, Inc.	West Babylon	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Supplier of aerospace machining components	128	60	8	0	\$150,000	(5)	7
10	Ozone Acquisition, LLC	Plainview	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Rehabilitation and nursing center	207	100	190	0	\$1,500,000	(5)	7
11	PCX Aerostructures, LLC	Ronkonkoma	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of aerospace parts	312	156	37	0	\$5,000,000	(5)	7
12	Qosina Corp.	Ronkonkoma	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Distributor of medical supplies	221	110	105	0	\$2,000,000	(5)	7
13	Sylhan, LLC	Edgewood	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of refractory metal products	226	110	21	0	\$500,000	(1), (5)	7
14	Top Hat Uniform, Inc.	Hicksville	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of custom-designed uniforms	83	40	44	5	\$50,000	(5)	7
	Long Island Region Sub-totals:							894	599	39	\$11,625,000		

Retention-Based Allocations

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation	Jobs Retained	Jobs Created	Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
15	Nortek Powder Coating LLC	Rome	Oneida	Mohawk Valley	NGRID	Manufacturer of powder coating products	291	146	14	0	\$750,000	(1), (5)	7
16	Redco Foods, Inc.	Little Falls	Herkimer	Mohawk Valley	NGRID	Manufacturer of herbal teas and desserts	335	166	60	0	\$875,000	(5)	7
	Mohawk Valley Region Sub-totals:							312	74	0	\$1,625,000		
17	Aero Nav Laboratories, Inc.	College Point	Queens	New York City	CONED	Conducts environmental simulation testing	126	60	16	0	\$100,000	(5)	7
18	Banner Smoked Fish, Inc.	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Processing of smoked fish products	280	130	33	0	\$1,700,000	(5)	7
19	Control Electropolishing Corporation	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Metal finishing company	77	36	19	0	\$125,000	(1), (5)	7
20	TMI Trading	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Food manufacturing company	203	100	30	0	\$500,000	(5)	7
	New York City Region Sub-totals:							326	98	0	\$2,425,000		
21	Schum-Acres Dairy OPS, LLC	Naples	Ontario	Southern Tier	NYSEG	Dairy farm	234	116	35	0	\$1,500,000	(1), (5)	7
	Southern Tier Region Sub-totals:							116	35	0	\$1,500,000		

Retention-Based Totals

1,940	863	39	\$19,625,000
--------------	------------	-----------	---------------------

Expansion-Based Allocations

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation ⁽⁷⁾	Base Employment ⁽³⁾	Job Creation Commitment	Project Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
22	Seneca Street Enterprises, LLC	Manlius	Onondaga	Central New York	NGRID	Microbrewery	200	100	0	21	\$1,517,500	⁽⁶⁾	7
	Central New York Region Sub-totals:							100	0	21	\$1,517,500		
23	John, Mark, & Maureen J. Torrey Partnership	Lyndonville	Orleans	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Dairy farm	350	176	22	14	\$13,000,000	^{(1), (2), (6)}	7
24	Traco Manufacturing, Inc.	Batavia	Genesee	Finger Lakes	NGRID	Manufacturer of packaging equipment	100	50	10	3	\$685,000	^{(1), (2), (6)}	7
25	Upstate Door, Inc.	Warsaw	Wyoming	Finger Lakes	NYSEG	Manufacturer of custom-made doors	150	76	55	15	\$950,000	^{(4), (6)}	7
	Finger Lakes Region Sub-totals:							302	0	32	\$14,635,000		
26	Sylhan, LLC	Edgewood	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Manufacturer of refractory metal products	50	26	21	10	\$2,800,000	^{(1), (2), (6)}	7
	Long Island Region Sub-totals:							26	0	10	\$2,800,000		
27	Ulster-Greene ARC Foundation	Ellenville	Ulster	Mid-Hudson	CHUD	Services for the developmentally disabled	60	20	14	36	\$1,616,500	⁽⁶⁾	7
	Mid-Hudson Region Sub-totals:							20	14	36	\$1,616,500		
28	Nortek Powder Coating LLC	Rome	Oneida	Mohawk Valley	NGRID	Manufacturer of powder coating products	44	20	14	4	\$1,000,000	^{(1), (2), (6)}	7
	Mohawk Valley Region Sub-totals:							20	0	4	\$1,000,000		
29	Control Electropolishing Corporation	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Metal finishing company	20	10	19	2	\$200,000	^{(1), (2), (6)}	7
	New York City Region Sub-totals:							10	0	2	\$200,000		

Expansion-Based Allocations

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	kW Request	kW Recommendation ⁽⁷⁾	Base Employment ⁽³⁾	Job Creation Commitment	Project Capital Investment (\$)		Contract Term (years)
30	Schum-Acres Dairy OPS, LLC	Naples	Ontario	Southern Tier	NYSEG	Dairy farm	500	250	35	1	\$2,500,000	(1), (2), (6)	7
	Southern Tier Region Sub-totals:							250	0	1	\$2,500,000		

Expansion-Based Totals

728	14	106	\$24,269,000
-----	----	-----	--------------

Retention & Expansion-Based Totals

2,668	877	145	\$43,894,000
-------	-----	-----	--------------

- (1) These applicants are being recommended for both RNY retention and expansion-based allocations.
- (2) The number of new jobs committed will be above a base employment level specified in the applicant's retention-based allocation recommendation.
- (3) The number of new jobs committed will be above a base employment level specified in the power sale contract with the applicant.
- (4) This applicant was previously approved for a retention-based RNY Power allocation. The base employment refers to this applicant's retained jobs which are already associated with an existing power allocation.
- (5) Indicates a retention-based allocation recommendation
- (6) Indicates an expansion-based allocation recommendation
- (7) All expansion-based RNY Power allocations are recommended to be "up to" the amount indicated pending the applicant's compliance with contractual commitments, including commitments relating to job creation, capital investment spending and power utilization.

Economic Development Power Allocation Board
 ReCharge New York Power Program
 Recommendations - Applicants Not Eligible

Exhibit "D"
 March 28, 2016

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	Reason
1	Lunar Module Park LLC	Bethpage	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Studio rentals for film production	Retail business
2	CCNA Realty LLC	Yonkers	Westchester	Mid-Hudson	CONED	Provides office space to tenants	The project includes retail business components
3	Seneca Larkin 701, LLC	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Provides office space to tenants	The project includes retail business components
4	The Nail Belle	Brooklyn	Kings	New York City	CONED	Nail salon	Retail business
5	Fun Xcape, LLC	Watertown	Jefferson	North Country	NGRID	Indoor amusement park	Retail business

Economic Development Power Allocation Board
 ReCharge New York Power Program
 Recommendations - Applicant/Application Not Recommended for RNY Power Allocation

Exhibit "E"
 March 28, 2016

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	Reason
1	Lunar Module Park LLC	Bethpage	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Studio rentals for film production	1) The applicant's power demand fluctuates significantly due to standard operating conditions and therefore an RNY allocation based on such fluctuating power demand would be unlikely to have a meaningful impact on the applicant's operating costs particularly during times of reduced demand. 2) The applicant itself can only commit to a small number of direct jobs due to the nature of its business. Other persons working at the facility would be employed by production companies renting out studio space and would not be subject to long term commitments by the applicant.
2	CCNA Realty LLC	Yonkers	Westchester	Mid-Hudson	CONED	Provides office space to tenants	Specific entities within the facility that would use and receive the benefit of an RNY allocation would not have a utility account or utility grade demand meter, and therefore it would not be possible for the utility to collect demand and usage data from specific users of RNY Power.
3	Seneca Larkin 701, LLC	Buffalo	Erie	Western New York	NGRID	Provides office space to tenants	Specific entities within the facility that would use and receive the benefit of an RNY allocation would not have a utility account or utility grade demand meter, and therefore it would not be possible for the utility to collect demand and usage data from specific users of RNY Power.
4	Seward House Museum	Auburn	Cayuga	Central New York	NYSEG	Historical museum and landmark	The applicant's power demand is insufficient to support an award of RNY Power and to meet other program requirements, and a RNY Power allocation based on such power demand is unlikely to have a meaningful impact on the applicant's operating costs.

Economic Development Power Allocation Board
 ReCharge New York Power Program
 Recommendations - Terminate Application/Review Process

Exhibit "F"
 March 28, 2016

Line	Company	City	County	Economic Development Region	IOU	Description	Reason
1	Stone Management	Watervliet	Albany	Capital District	NGRID	Warehousing & transportation hub	Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.
2	Stellae International Inc.	Farmingdale	Nassau	Long Island	LIPA	Supply chain for fashion and luxury goods	Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.
3	Vox International Corporation	Hauppauge	Suffolk	Long Island	LIPA	Office and administration facilities	Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.
4	Avatar Sustainable Technologies LLC	TBD	TBD	TBD	NGRID	Biomaterials manufacturer	Applicant has been unresponsive to requests by staff for additional information, preventing a complete analysis of the application.

4. Transfer of Recharge New York Power Allocations

TABLED.

Chairman Hoyt said on the advice of staff, he is recommending that the Transfer of Recharge New York Power Allocations item be deferred.

Other Business

No other business to report.

5. Next Meeting

Chairman Hoyt said that the next meeting of the Board will be held on Monday, May 23, 2015 at 10:00 a.m.

Closing

Upon motion made by Member Catell and seconded by Member Maziarz, the meeting was adjourned by Chairman Hoyt at approximately 10:14 a.m.